arXiv:1305.0142v1 [math.AT] 1 May 2013

ON THE UNIVERSAL COEFFICIENTS FORMULA FOR SHAPE HOMOLOGY

ANDREI V. PRASOLOV

Abstract. In this paper it is investigated whether various shape homology theories satisfy the Universal Coefficients Formula (*UCF*). It is proved that pro-homology and strong homology satisfy *UCF* in the class **FAB** of finitely generated abelian groups, while they do not satisfy UCF in the class **AB** of all abelian groups. Two new shape homology theories (called *UCF*-balanced) are constructed. It is proved that balanced pro-homology satisfies UCF in the class **AB**, while balanced strong homology satisfies *UCF* only in the class **FAB**.

Contents

0. Introduction 2
1. Main results 3
2. Pro-modules 4
2.1. Pro-category 4
2.2. Strong shape 7
2.3. Tensor product 8
2.4. Quasi-projectives 10
2.5. Quasi-noetherian rings 11
2.6. **Tor** for pro-modules 11
3. Spectral sequences 14
3.1. Towers 14
3.2. Bicomplexes 19
3.3. Homotopy inverse limits 24
4. Shape homology 28
4.1. Pro-homology 28
4.2. Strong homology 28
4.3. Balanced homology 29
5. Proof of the main results 32
5.1. Proof of Proposition 0.1 32
5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 33
5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 34
5.4. Proof of Theorem 1.3 34
5.5. Proof of Theorem 1.4 36
5.6. Proof of Theorem 1.5 37
References 39

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N35, 18G15; Secondary 18G40, 55U20.

Key words and phrases. Universal coefficients, shape homology, pro-modules, pro-homology, strong homology, balanced homology.

0. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate whether various shape homology theories satisfy the Universal Coefficients Formula (*UCF*). It is proved (Theorem 1.1) that pro-homology and strong homology satisfy *UCF* in the class **FAB** of finitely generated abelian groups, while they do not satisfy *UCF* in the class **AB** of all abelian groups (Theorems 1.3 and 1.4). Two new shape homology theories (called *UCF-balanced*, or simply *balanced*) are constructed. It is proved that *balanced pro-homology* satisfies *UCF* in the class **AB** (Theorem 1.2). It happens that our *balanced strong homology* is not "balanced enough". It satisfies *UCF* in the class **FAB** (Theorem 1.1), but not in the class **AB** (Theorem 1.5). The latter theorem is the most difficult part of the paper. Two counter-examples are constructed. The first one is simpler, but depends on the Continuum Hypothesis (in fact, on a weaker assumption "$d = \aleph_1$"). Hence, that example cannot be considered as a "final" counter-example. Another counter-example is much more complicated, but does not depend on any extra assumption, therefore can be considered as "final".

To deal with *UCF*, one needs to develop the torsion functor $\mathbf{Tor}_*^k$ on the category of pro-modules, which is done in Section 2. The problem is that the category $\mathbf{Pro}(k)$ of pro-modules **does not** have enough projectives (Remark 2.23). It does have, however, enough *quasi-projectives* (Proposition 2.24). It follows also that quasi-projectives are flat (Proposition 2.30) provided k is quasi-noetherian. The above two facts allow defining the torsion functors using quasi-projective resolutions (Proposition 2.35).

To calculate the strong homology groups (balanced or non-balanced), one needs spectral sequences from Section 3. Those spectral sequences are of *inverse limit type* (in contrast to the *direct limit type* sequences), and are concentrated in the I and IV quadrants. It is not very easy to treat the convergence of such sequences. There are several papers that deal with the convergence of inverse limit type spectral sequences. See, e.g., the *most general* treatment in [Boa99], Part II, spectral sequences for *towers of fibrations* and *homotopy limits* in [BK72], §IX.4 and §XI.7, spectral sequences for homotopy limits of *spectra* in [Tho85], 1.16, 5.44-5.48, spectral sequences for Γ-*spaces* in [Pra01], Theorem 2, and a spectral sequence for strong homology in [Pra89]. None of the approaches above suits 100% our purposes. That is why in Section 3, spectral sequences for homotopy limits of *diagrams of chain complexes* are developed (Theorem 3.11). The most important result in that Section is Theorem 3.15 where homotopy limits of *pro-complexes* are treated.

Let $G \in \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ be an abelian group (see the notations for $\mathbf{Mod}(k)$ and $\mathbf{Pro}(k)$ from Example 2.7 (1)). Throughout this paper, $h_n(\_, G)$ will be one of the following homology theories:

1. Pro-homology $\mathbf{H}_n(X, G) \in \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Definition 4.1).
2. Strong homology $\overline{H}_n(X, G) \in \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Definition 4.2).
3. Balanced pro-homology $\mathbf{H}_n^b(X, G) \in \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Definition 4.6).
4. Balanced strong homology $\overline{H}_n^b(X, G) \in \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see Definition 4.8).

Let $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$ denote either the usual tensor product

$$\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$$

or the tensor product

$$\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})$$

from Theorem 2.17.

Proposition 0.1. *For each of the four theories there exists a natural (on X and G) pairing*

$$h_n(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \longrightarrow h_n(X,G).$$

Remark 0.2. *Since all the four theories are defined on the strong shape category* $\mathbf{SSh}$*, "natural" here means that for each $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ the pairing above is a morphism of functors*

$$h_n(?,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}? \longrightarrow h_n(?,?):\mathbf{SSh}\times\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow\mathbf{C}$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ is either $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. See Section 5.1. ☐

Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be either the class $\mathbf{AB}$ or the subclass $\mathbf{FAB}\subseteq\mathbf{AB}$.

Definition 0.3. *We say that the homology theory h_* **satisfies UCF in the class** $\mathfrak{C}$ iff for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $X\in\mathbf{TOP}$ and $G\in\mathfrak{C}$:*

- *The pairing $h_n(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\to h_n(X,G)$ is a monomorphism.*
- *The cokernel*

  $$\mathbf{coker}\left(h_n(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\to h_n(X,G)\right)$$

  is naturally (on X and G) isomorphic to $\mathfrak{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(h_{n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z}),G)$ where $\mathfrak{Tor}$ is either the usual torsion functor

  $$Tor_*^{\mathbb{Z}}:\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})\times\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})\to\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$$

  or the functor

  $$\mathbf{Tor}_*^{\mathbb{Z}}:\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})\times\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})\to\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})$$

  from Definition 2.31.

Remark 0.4. *Roughly speaking, the theory h_* satisfies UCF in the class $\mathfrak{C}$ iff there are natural (on $X\in\mathbf{SSh}$ and $G\in\mathfrak{C}$) exact sequences ($n\in\mathbb{Z}$)*

$$0\longrightarrow h_n(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\longrightarrow h_n(X,G)\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(h_{n-1}(X,\mathbb{Z}),G)\longrightarrow 0.$$

1. Main results

Theorem 1.1. *All the four theories h_n **satisfy** UCF in the class* $\mathbf{FAB}$.

Proof. See Section 5.2. ☐

Theorem 1.2. *The balanced pro-homology $\mathbf{H}_*^b$ **satisfies** UCF in the class* $\mathbf{AB}$.

Proof. See Section 5.3. ☐

Theorem 1.3. *The pro-homology $\mathbf{H}_*$ **does not** satisfy UCF in the class* $\mathbf{AB}$.

Proof. See Section 5.4. ☐

Theorem 1.4. *The strong homology $\overline{H}_n$ **does not** satisfy UCF in the class* $\mathbf{AB}$.

Proof. See Section 5.5. ☐

Theorem 1.5. *The balanced strong homology $\overline{H}_n^b$ **does not** satisfy UCF in the class* $\mathbf{AB}$.

Proof. See Section 5.6. ☐

2. Pro-modules

2.1. **Pro-category.** We will often refer to Chapter 6 of [KS06] where ind-objects are considered. Since the category of pro-objects $\mathbf{Pro}\left(k\right)$ is isomorphic to the category $\mathbf{Ind}\left(\mathbf{Mod}\left(k\right)^{op}\right)^{op}$ where $\mathbf{Ind}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$ is the category of ind-objects, all properties of $\mathbf{Pro}\left(k\right)$ are dual to the properties of $\mathbf{Ind}\left(\mathbf{Mod}\left(k\right)^{op}\right)$. We can therefore easily use the statements that are dual to the corresponding statements in [KS06].

Pro-objects are represented by *inverse systems*. In [MS82] and [Mar00], inverse systems are indexed by *filtrant* (called *directed* in [MS82], §I.1.1) ordered **sets** I. We use here more general inverse systems indexed by cofiltrant index categories, i.e. an inverse system in $\mathbf{C}$ (Definition 2.1 below) will be a functor $\mathbf{X}:\mathbf{I}\rightarrow\mathbf{C}$ where $\mathbf{I}$ is a small *cofiltrant* category (see [KS06], Definition 3.1.1, for the definition of (co)filtrant categories). Without loss of generality, let us denote such a functor by $\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$. The so-called Mardešić trick ([MS82], Theorem I.1.4) shows that our construction (indexing by a category) is equivalent to the Mardešić-Segal construction (indexing by an ordered set). The difference in terminology (**co**filtrant categories instead of filtrant sets) is due to the fact that our construction uses covariant functors, while the Mardešić-Segal construction uses contravariant ones.

Definition 2.1. *(compare with* [Pra01]*, Definition 2.1.3) For a category* $\mathbf{C}$*, let* $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$ *be the following category (of* ***inverse systems****). The objects are functors* $\mathbf{X}:\mathbf{I}\rightarrow\mathbf{C}$ *where* $\mathbf{I}$ *is a small cofiltrant category. A morphism* $\mathbf{X}\rightarrow\mathbf{Y}$ *where* $\mathbf{X}:\mathbf{I}\rightarrow\mathbf{C}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y}:\mathbf{J}\rightarrow\mathbf{C}$*, is a pair* $\left(\varphi,\psi\right)$ *where* $\varphi:\mathbf{J}\rightarrow\mathbf{I}$ *is a functor and* ψ *is a morphism of functors* $\psi:\mathbf{X}\circ\varphi\rightarrow\mathbf{Y}$*. The morphisms are composed as follows:*

$$\left(\varphi_2,\psi_2\right)\circ\left(\varphi_1,\psi_1\right)=\left(\varphi_1\circ\varphi_2,\psi_2\circ\psi_1\left(\varphi_1\right)\right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 &: \mathbf{J}\longrightarrow\mathbf{I},\varphi_2:\mathbf{K}\longrightarrow\mathbf{J},\\ \psi_1 &: \mathbf{X}\circ\varphi_1\longrightarrow\mathbf{Y},\psi_2:\mathbf{Y}\circ\varphi_2\longrightarrow\mathbf{Z},\end{aligned}$$

and $\psi_1\left(\varphi_2\right):\mathbf{X}\circ\varphi_1\circ\varphi_2\rightarrow\mathbf{Y}\circ\varphi_2$ *is the morphism given by*

$$\psi_1\left(\varphi_2\right)_k=\left(\psi_1\right)_{\varphi_2(k)}:X_{\varphi_1(\varphi_2(k))}\longrightarrow Y_{\varphi_2(k)}.$$

The identity morphisms $1_{\mathbf{X}}$ *are given by families* $1_{\mathbf{X}}=\left(1:\mathbf{I}\rightarrow\mathbf{I},\left(1_i:X_i\rightarrow X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\right)$.

It is easily checked that $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$ is indeed a category.

Definition 2.2. *If* $\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{J}$ *and* φ *is the identity functor, the morphism* $\left(\varphi,\psi\right)$ *is called a* ***level*** *morphism.*

Definition 2.3. *Let* $\mathbf{C}$ *be a category. The pro-category* $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$ *(see* [KS06]*, Definition 6.1.1,* [MS82]*, Remark I.1.4, or* [AM86]*, Appendix) is the category with the same class of objects as* $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$*, and the following sets of morphisms between two objects* $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y}=\left(Y_j\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}}$*:*

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})}\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}\right)=\varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}}\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}}Hom_{\mathbf{C}}\left(X_i,Y_j\right).$$

Remark 2.4. *It follows from the definition that a morphism in* $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$ *between* $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ *and* $\mathbf{Y}=\left(Y_j\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}}$ *can be represented by a pair* $\left(\varphi,\psi\right)$ *where* $\varphi:Ob\left(\mathbf{J}\right)\rightarrow Ob\left(\mathbf{I}\right)$ *is a mapping between the sets of objects, and* $\psi=\left(\psi_j\right):X_{\varphi(j)}\rightarrow Y_j$ *is a family of morphisms satisfying the standard inverse limit relations. A morphism* $\left(\varphi,\psi\right)$ *in* $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$ *can be therefore interpreted as a morphism in* $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$*, and one has an evident functor* $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)\rightarrow\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$.

Definition 2.5. *A morphism* $(\varphi, \psi) \in Hom_{\mathbf{Inv}(\mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ *is called* ***cofinal****, if* $\varphi : \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{I}$ *is a cofinal functor (****left cofinal*** *in* [BK72]*, XI.9.1, see also* [AM86]*, Appendix (1.5)),* $\mathbf{X} \circ \varphi = \mathbf{Y}$*, and*

$$\psi = 1_{\mathbf{Y}} : \mathbf{X} \circ \varphi \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \circ \varphi = \mathbf{Y}.$$

Theorem 2.6. *The category* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})$ *is equivalent to the category of fractions* $\mathbf{Inv}(\mathbf{C})\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ *where* Σ *is the class of cofinal morphisms.*

Proof. See [Pra01], Theorem 2.1.10. □

Example 2.7.

(1) *Let k be an associative commutative ring with unit. The category of k-modules will be denoted* $\mathbf{Mod}(k)$*. The pro-category* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{Mod}(k))$ *of pro-modules will be shortly denoted* $\mathbf{Pro}(k)$*. Since the category* $\mathbf{AB}$ *of abelian groups can be naturally identified with* $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$*, the category* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{AB})$ *of abelian pro-groups can be naturally identified with* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})$*.*

(2) *We will consider both the categories* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{TOP})$ *and* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{HTOP})$ *where* $\mathbf{TOP}$ *is the category of topological spaces and* $\mathbf{HTOP}$ *is the category of homotopy types having topological spaces as objects and homotopy classes of mappings as morphisms. There are full subcategories* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{POL}) \subseteq \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{TOP})$ *and* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{HPOL}) \subseteq \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{HTOP})$ *where* $\mathbf{POL}$ *is the category of topological spaces having the homotopy type of a polyhedron.*

(3) *Let* $\mathbf{CHAIN}(k)$ *be the category of chain complexes of k-modules. We will consider the category* $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{CHAIN}(k))$ *of chain pro-complexes.*

It is well-known that the category $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})$ admits coproducts if $\mathbf{C}$ does (see, e.g., [Pra01], Proposition 2.4.1). Below is the explicit description of a coproduct.

Example 2.8. *Let* $\left(\mathbf{X}^\alpha = (X_i^\alpha)_{i \in \mathbf{I}^\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in A}$ *be a family of pro-objects. Define a pro-object* $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_j)_{j \in \mathbf{J}}$ *as follows:*

$$\mathbf{J} = \prod_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{I}^\alpha, Y_j = \coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_{j(\alpha)}^\alpha,$$

where $j = (j(\alpha) \in \mathbf{I}^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$*. One has for another pro-object* $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_k)_{k \in \mathbf{K}}$

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \varprojlim_k \varinjlim_j Hom_{\mathbf{C}}(Y_j, Z_k) \simeq \varprojlim_k \varinjlim_j Hom_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\coprod_{\alpha \in A} X_{j(\alpha)}^\alpha, Z_k\right) \simeq$$

$$\simeq \varprojlim_k \varinjlim_j \prod_{\alpha \in A} Hom_{\mathbf{C}}\left(X_{j(\alpha)}^\alpha, Z_k\right) \simeq \varprojlim_k \prod_{\alpha \in A} \varinjlim_{i \in \mathbf{I}^\alpha} Hom_{\mathbf{C}}(X_i^\alpha, Z_k) \simeq$$

$$\simeq \varprojlim_k \prod_{\alpha \in A} Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{X}^\alpha, Z_k) \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} \varprojlim_k Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{X}^\alpha, Z_k) \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{C})}(\mathbf{X}^\alpha, \mathbf{Z}),$$

and $\mathbf{Y}$ *is indeed a coproduct of* $(\mathbf{X}^\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$*.*

Definition 2.9. *Given a pro-complex*

$$\mathbf{C}_* = (C_{*i})_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \in \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{CHAIN}(k))$$

let $\mathbf{C}_*^\# \in \mathbf{CHAIN}(\mathbf{Pro}(k))$ *be the following complex of pro-modules:* $\mathbf{C}_n^\# = (C_{n,i})_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$*,* $d_n = (d_{n,i})_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$*. There are two ways of defining the pro-homologies of* *the pro-complex:*

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{C}_*\right) = \left(\frac{\ker\left(d_{n,i} : C_{n,i} \longrightarrow C_{n-1,i}\right)}{Im\left(d_{n+1,i} : C_{n+1,i} \longrightarrow C_{n,i}\right)}\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_n^{\#}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\right) = \frac{\ker\left(d_n : \mathbf{C}_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{n-1}\right)}{Im\left(d_{n+1} : \mathbf{C}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_n\right)}.$$

Proposition 2.10. $\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{C}_*\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}_n^{\#}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\right)$.

Proof. Straightforward. Compare with [MS82], §II.2. We need to describe kernels, cokernels, images and coimages.

1. **Kernels**. Let
$$\left(f : \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}\right) = \left(f_i : A_i \longrightarrow B_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$$
be a level morphism, and let
$$\mathbf{C} = \left(\ker\left(f_i\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}.$$
We have to prove that $\mathbf{C}$ is the kernel of f. Let $\mathbf{D} = \left(D_j\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}} \in \mathbf{Pro}\left(k\right)$. Then
$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C}\right) = \varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\varinjlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} Hom\left(D_j, C_i\right) =$$
$$\begin{aligned} \varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\varinjlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} \ker\left(Hom\left(D_j, A_i\right) \longrightarrow Hom\left(D_j, B_i\right)\right) &= \\ \varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \ker\left(\varinjlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} Hom\left(D_j, A_i\right) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} Hom\left(D_j, B_i\right)\right) &= \\ \ker\left(\varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\varinjlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} Hom\left(D_j, A_i\right) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\varinjlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} Hom\left(D_j, B_i\right)\right) &= \\ \ker\left(Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A}\right) \longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{B}\right)\right). & \end{aligned}$$
2. **Cokernels**. Let now
$$\mathbf{E} = \left(\mathbf{coker}\left(f_i\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}.$$
We have to prove that $\mathbf{E}$ is the cokernel of f. Let $\mathbf{D} = \left(D_j\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}} \in \mathbf{Pro}\left(k\right)$. Then
$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D}\right) = \varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}}\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom\left(E_i, D_j\right) =$$
$$\begin{aligned} \varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}}\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \ker\left(Hom\left(B_i, D_j\right) \longrightarrow Hom\left(A_i, D_j\right)\right) &= \\ \varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}} \ker\left(\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom\left(B_i, D_j\right) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom\left(A_i, D_j\right)\right) &= \\ \ker\left(\varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}}\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom\left(B_i, D_j\right) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{j\in\mathbf{J}}\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom\left(A_i, D_j\right)\right) &= \\ \ker\left(Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}\right) \longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}\right)\right). & \end{aligned}$$
3. **Images**.
$$Im\left(f\right) = \ker\mathbf{coker}\left(f\right) = \ker\left(B_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{coker}\left(f_i\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}} = \left(Im\left(f_i\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}.$$
4. **Coimages**.

$$Coim\left(f\right) = \mathbf{coker}\ker\left(f\right) = \mathbf{coker}\left(\ker\left(f_i\right) \longrightarrow A_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}} = \left(A_i/\ker\left(f_i\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \simeq \left(Im\left(f_i\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}.$$

Actually, $Im\left(f\right) \simeq Coim\left(f\right)$ because $\mathbf{Pro}\left(k\right)$ is an abelian category (see [KS06], dual to Theorem 8.6.5). Finally,

$$\mathbf{H}_n^{\#}\left(\mathbf{C}\right) = \mathbf{coker}\left(\mathbf{C}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \ker\left(\mathbf{C}_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{n-1}\right)\right) \simeq \left(\frac{\ker d_{n.i}}{Im\left(d_{n+1.i}\right)}\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{C}\right).$$

$\square$

Proposition 2.11. *Let* $\left(\mathbf{C}_\alpha \in \mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(k\right)\right)\right)_{\alpha\in A}$ *be a family of chain pro-complexes of* k*-modules. Then, for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*,*

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(\coprod_{\alpha\in A}\mathbf{C}_\alpha\right) \simeq \coprod_{\alpha\in A}\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{C}_\alpha\right).$$

Proof. Straightforward, due to the explicit description in Example 2.8. $\square$

Remark 2.12. *Since both pro-complexes and pro-modules form additive (even abelian) categories, one can use the symbol* $\oplus$ *(direct sum) instead of* $\sqcup$*:*

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}\mathbf{C}_\alpha\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha\in A}\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{C}_\alpha\right).$$

2.2. **Strong shape.** Theorem 2.16 below gives a definition of the strong shape category which is equivalent to the Lisitsa-Mardešić one ([Mar00], §8.2).

Definition 2.13. *A level morphism* $f : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ *in* $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$ *is called a* ***level equivalence*** *iff* $f_i : X_i \to Y_i$ *are homotopy equivalences for all* $i \in \mathbf{I}$*.*

Definition 2.14. *(*[Pra01]*, Definition 2.1.9). A morphism*

$$\left(\varphi, \psi\right) : \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}$$

in $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$ *is called* ***special*** *iff* φ *is a cofinal functor and* $\psi_j : X_{\varphi(j)} \to Y_j$ *is a homotopy equivalence for all* $j \in \mathbf{J}$*.*

Proposition 2.15. *Any special morphism* $f : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ *is a composition* $f = h \circ g$ *of a cofinal morphism* g *and a level equivalence* h*.*

Proof. Given a special morphism $\left(\varphi, \psi\right) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$, let

$$\mathbf{Z} = \left(Z_j = X_{\varphi(j)}\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}} \in \mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g & = & \left(\varphi, 1_{\mathbf{X}\circ\varphi}\right) : \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}, \\ h & = & \left(1_J, \psi\right) : \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}. \end{array}$$

Clearly g is cofinal, and h is a level equivalence, while $f = h \circ g$. $\square$

Theorem 2.16. *The strong shape category* $\mathbf{SSh}$ *is a full subcategory of the category of fractions* $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{POL}\right)\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ *where* Σ *is the class of special morphisms.*

Proof. Due to [Pra01], Theorem 1, the category $\mathbf{SSh}$, being a full subcategory of $\mathbf{SSh}\left(\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)\right)$, is therefore a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{ANR}\right)\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$. It is easy (and standard in strong shape theory) to substitute the category $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{ANR}\right)\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ by the alternative category $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{POL}\right)\left[\Sigma^{-1}\right]$ because any ANR is homotopy equivalent to a polyhedron. $\square$

2.3. **Tensor product.** Let $\mathbf{P}\in\mathbf{Pro}(k)$, $M\in\mathbf{Mod}(k)$. Consider the functor

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{P},M} &: \mathbf{Pro}(k)\longrightarrow\mathbf{Mod}(k),\\ F_{\mathbf{P},M}(\mathbf{N}) &= Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(M,Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.17.

(1) *The functor $F_{\mathbf{P},M}$ is representable. It means that there exists a pro-module (unique up to an isomorphism) $\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M$ such that*

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M,\mathbf{N})\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(M,Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\right)$$

naturally on $\mathbf{N}\in\mathbf{Pro}(k)$.

(2) *Moreover, since the mapping $(\mathbf{P},M)\longmapsto F_{\mathbf{P},M}$ is functorial, then the mapping $(\mathbf{P},M)\longmapsto\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M$ is in fact an bi-additive (even k-bilinear) functor*

$$\otimes_k:\mathbf{Pro}(k)\times\mathbf{Mod}(k)\longrightarrow\mathbf{Pro}(k).$$

(3) *The functor $\otimes_k$ is right exact with respect to both variables.*

(4) *If M is projective, then the functor $?\otimes_k M:\mathbf{Pro}(k)\to\mathbf{Pro}(k)$ is exact.*

Proof. **Representability**. Compare Theorem 2.1.8 in [Sug01] or Proposition 2.1 in [Sch87].

Assume $M=k^X$ is a free k-module generated by the set X, and let

$$\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M:=\bigoplus_X\mathbf{P}$$

be the direct sum in $\mathbf{Pro}(k)$. Then

$$\begin{gathered} Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M,\mathbf{N})\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\bigoplus_X\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N}\right)\simeq\\ \prod_X Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(M,Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\right)\end{gathered}$$

as desired. Consider now a general k-module M. It can be represented as

$$M=\mathbf{coker}\left(k^Y\xrightarrow{f}k^X\right)$$

where f is given by a (infinite in general) $X\times Y$ matrix with coefficients in k. Define

$$\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M=\mathbf{coker}\left(\bigoplus_Y\mathbf{P}\xrightarrow{F}\bigoplus_X\mathbf{P}\right)$$

where F is given by the same $X\times Y$ matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M,\mathbf{N})\simeq\\ &\ker\left(Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\bigoplus_X\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N}\right)\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\bigoplus_Y\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N}\right)\right)\simeq\\ &\ker\left(Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(k^X,Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\right)\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(k^Y,Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\right)\right)\simeq\\ &Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(\mathbf{coker}\left(k^Y\to k^X\right),Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\right)\simeq\\ &\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(M,Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{N})\right)\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Exactness. We will prove even more: $\otimes_k$ commutes with arbitrary direct limits (with respect to both variables). Indeed, let $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{Pro}\,(k)$. The functor

$$\mathbf{P}\otimes_k ? : \mathbf{Mod}\,(k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pro}\,(k)$$

is left adjoint to the functor

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\,(\mathbf{P}, ?) : \mathbf{Pro}\,(k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mod}\,(k)\,.$$

Hence, it commutes with direct limits, and therefore is right exact.

Let now $M \in \mathbf{Mod}\,(k)$, and let $\mathbf{P} = \varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{P}_i$ be the direct limit of a diagram (not necessarily filtrant!) of pro-modules. For any pro-module $\mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\left(\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{P}_i\right)\otimes_k M, \mathbf{N}\right) \simeq Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(M, Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\left(\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{P}_i\right), \mathbf{N}\right)\right) \simeq \\
&Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(M, \varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\,(\mathbf{P}_i, \mathbf{N})\right) \simeq \\
&\varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(M, Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\,(\mathbf{P}_i, \mathbf{N})\right) \simeq \\
&\varprojlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\,(\mathbf{P}_i \otimes_k M, \mathbf{N})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\left(\varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \mathbf{P}_i\right)\otimes_k M \simeq \varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\ (\mathbf{P}_i \otimes_k M)$, and $?\otimes_k M : \mathbf{Pro}\,(k) \to \mathbf{Pro}\,(k)$ is right exact as well.

Finally, let M be a free k-module, $M \simeq k^X$ for some set X. Since $\otimes_k$ commutes with direct limits, the functor $? \otimes_k M$ is the direct sum of the identity functors $\otimes_k k$. Hence, $? \otimes_k M$ is exact as a direct sum of exact functors. If M is projective, then it is a retract of a free module F. Therefore, $? \otimes_k M$, being a retract of the exact functor $? \otimes_k F$, is exact as well. □

Remark 2.18. *Let* $\mathbf{P}_* \in \mathbf{Pro}\,(\mathbf{CHAIN}\,(k))$ *be a pro-complex of* k*-modules, and let* M *be a* k*-module. Then the tensor product* $\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k M$ *can be represented by a complex of inverse systems and level differentials indexed by the* ***same*** *index category* J*:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}_n \otimes_k M &= \left((Q_*)_j\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}}, \\
(d_n : \mathbf{P}_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{n-1}) &= \left(d_n : (Q_n)_j \longrightarrow (Q_{n-1})_j\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, the complex of pro-modules

$$\mathbf{Q}_n = \mathbf{P}_n \otimes_k M = \mathbf{coker}\left(\bigoplus_Y \mathbf{P}_n \xrightarrow{F} \bigoplus_X \mathbf{P}_n\right),$$

as in the proof above, can be represented by the following inverse system. Let $\mathbf{J}$ *be the product of the* $X\times Y$ *copies of* $\mathbf{I}$*:* $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{I}^{X\times Y}$*. Given* $\mathbf{J} \ni j = (i_{x,y})_{x\in X, y\in Y}$*, let*

$$\begin{aligned}
(Q_n)_j &= \mathbf{coker}\left(\bigoplus_{y\in Y} (P_n)_{j(x,y)} \xrightarrow{F_j} \bigoplus_{x\in X} (P_n)_{j(x,y)}\right), \\
(d_n : Q_n \longrightarrow Q_{n-1})_j &= \mathbf{coker}\left(\bigoplus_{y\in Y} (d_n)_{j(x,y)} \xrightarrow{F_j} \bigoplus_{x\in X} (d_n)_{j(x,y)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where F_j *is the following constant (not depending on* j*)* $X\times Y$ *matrix:* $(F_j)_{x,y} = f_{x,y}$*.*

Definition 2.19. *There is another (weak) tensor product*

$$\widetilde{\otimes}_k : (\mathbf{Pro}\,(k)) \times \mathbf{Mod}\,(k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pro}\,(k)$$

which is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k M := (P_i \otimes_k M)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$$

where the pro-module $\mathbf{P}$ *is defined by an inverse system* $(P_i)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$*.*

Theorem 2.20. *There is a natural homomorphism* $\otimes_k \to \widetilde{\otimes}_k$*. If* M *is* ***finitely generated****, the homomorphism above becomes an* ***epimorphism*** $\mathbf{P}\otimes_k M \to \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k M$*. If* M *is* ***finitely presented****, the homomorphism becomes an* ***isomorphism****.*

Proof. It is easy to see that, if $M = k$, then the homomorphism becomes an isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{P} \otimes_k M = \mathbf{P} \otimes_k k = \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k k = \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k M.$$

Since both functors are additive (even k-linear), the same is true when $M = k^n$ is a free finitely generated module:

$$\mathbf{P} \otimes_k M = \mathbf{P} \otimes_k k^n = \bigoplus^n \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k k^n = \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k M.$$

If M is finitely generated, then

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \mathbf{coker}\left(k^X \longrightarrow k^n\right), \\ \mathbf{P} \otimes_k M &= \mathbf{coker}\left(\bigoplus_X \mathbf{P} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^n\right), \\ \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k M &= \mathbf{coker}\left(\mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k k^X \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^n\right), \end{aligned}$$

and both pro-modules are factormodules of the same pro-module $\mathbf{P}^n$, hence $\mathbf{P} \otimes_k M \to \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k M$ is an epimorphism. Finally, if M is finitely presented, then

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \mathbf{coker}\left(k^m \longrightarrow k^n\right), \\ \mathbf{P} \otimes_k M &= \mathbf{coker}\left(\mathbf{P}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^n\right) = \mathbf{coker}\left(\mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k k^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k k^n\right) = \mathbf{P}\widetilde{\otimes}_k M. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.4. Quasi-projectives.

Definition 2.21. *(dual to* [KS06]*, Definition 15.2.1) A pro-module* $\mathbf{P}$ *is called* ***quasi-projective*** *if the functor*

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}\left(\mathbf{P}, ?\right) : \mathbf{Mod}\,(k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mod}\,(k)$$

is exact.

Proposition 2.22. *A pro-module* $\mathbf{P}$ *is quasi-projective iff it is isomorphic to a pro-module* $(Q_i)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ *where all modules* $Q_i \in \mathbf{Mod}\,(k)$ *are projective.*

Proof. The statement is dual to [KS06], Proposition 15.2.3. □

Remark 2.23. *The category* $\mathbf{Pro}\,(k)$ *does not have enough projectives (compare with* [KS06]*, Corollary 15.1.3). However, it has enough quasi-projectives (see Proposition 2.24 below).*

Proposition 2.24. *For any pro-module* $\mathbf{M}$ *there exists an epimorphism* $\mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{M}$ *where* $\mathbf{P}$ *is quasi-projective.*

Proof. The statement is dual to the rather complicated Theorem 15.2.5 from [KS06]. However, the proof is much simpler in our case. Given $\mathbf{M} = (M_i)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$, let $\mathbf{P} = (P_i = F(M_i))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$, where $F(M_i)$ is the free k-module generated by the set of symbols $([m])_{m\in M}$. A family of epimorphisms

$$f_i : P_i \longrightarrow M_i \quad \left(f_i\left(\sum_j k_j [m_j]\right) = \sum_j k_j m_j, k_j \in k, m_j \in M_i\right),$$

defines the desired level epimorphism $f : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{M}$. □

2.5. **Quasi-noetherian rings.**

Definition 2.25. *A commutative ring k is called* ***quasi-noetherian*** *if for any quasi-projective $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{Pro}(k)$, and any injective $J \in \mathbf{Mod}(k)$, the k-module $Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P}, J)$ is injective.*

Remark 2.26. *In* [Sug01]*, Definition 2.1.10, such rings are called "satisfying condition A". However, Proposition 2.28 below justifies our name.*

Lemma 2.27. *Let k be a noetherian ring. Then any filtrant direct limit of injective k-modules is injective.*

Proof. See [Lam99], Theorem 3.46. □

Proposition 2.28. *A noetherian ring is quasi-noetherian.*

Remark 2.29. *This proposition answers positively Conjecture 2.1.11 from* [Sug01].

Proof. A quasi-projective $\mathbf{P}$ can be represented by an inverse system $(P_i)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ where all P_i are projective k-modules. Therefore, the k-module

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{P}, J) = \varinjlim_{i\in\mathbf{I}} Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}(P_i, J),$$

being a filtrant direct limit of injectives, is injective as well, by Lemma 2.27. □

The proposition 2.30 below shows that quasi-projective pro-modules over a quasi-noetherian ring are flat.

Proposition 2.30. *If k is quasi-noetherian and $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{Pro}(k)$ is quasi-projective, then the functor $\mathbf{P}\otimes_k ? : \mathbf{Mod}(k) \to \mathbf{Mod}(k)$ is exact.*

Proof. See [Sug01], Theorem 2.1.12. □

2.6. **Tor for pro-modules.** We define the torsion functors $\mathbf{Tor}^k_* : \mathbf{Pro}(k) \to \mathbf{Pro}(k)$ as the left derived functors of the functor

$$\otimes_k : \mathbf{Pro}(k) \times \mathbf{Mod}(k) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pro}(k)$$

with respect to the **second** variable. Later, in Proposition 2.35, we will show that these functors can be equally defined by using the **first** variable (provided k is quasi-noetherian).

Definition 2.31. *Let $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{Pro}(k)$, $G \in \mathbf{Mod}(k)$, and let*

$$0 \longleftarrow G \longleftarrow P_0 \longleftarrow P_1 \longleftarrow P_2 \longleftarrow ...$$

be a projective resolution of G. Define

$$\mathbf{Tor}^k_n(\mathbf{M}, G) := \mathbf{H}_n(\mathbf{M} \otimes_k P_*), n \geq 0,$$

to be the pro-homology of $(\mathbf{M} \otimes_k P_*) \in \mathbf{CHAIN}(\mathbf{Pro}(k))$.

Remark 2.32. *Using the standard homological techniques, one can easily show that* $\mathbf{Tor}_n^k$ *are well defined bi-additive (even k-bilinear) functors from* $\mathbf{Pro}(k)\times\mathbf{Mod}(k)$ *to* $\mathbf{Pro}(k)$. *Moreover, since* $\otimes_k$ *is right exact,* $\mathbf{Tor}_0^k$ *is naturally isomorphic to* $\otimes_k$.

Proposition 2.33. *(The first long exact sequence) Let* $G\in\mathbf{Mod}(k)$, *and let*

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{M}\longrightarrow\mathbf{N}\longrightarrow\mathbf{K}\longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of pro-modules. Then there exists a natural long exact sequence of pro-modules

$$\begin{array}{lll} 0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{K}\otimes_k G\longleftarrow\mathbf{N}\otimes_k G\longleftarrow\mathbf{M}\otimes_k G\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_1^k(\mathbf{K},G)\longleftarrow ...\\ ... & \longleftarrow & \mathbf{Tor}_n^k(\mathbf{K},G)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_n^k(\mathbf{N},G)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_n^k(\mathbf{M},G)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_{n+1}^k(\mathbf{K},G)\longleftarrow ...\end{array}$$

Proof. Let

$$0\longleftarrow G\longleftarrow P_0\longleftarrow P_1\longleftarrow P_2\longleftarrow ...$$

be a projective resolution of G. Due to Theorem 2.17 (4), there is a short exact sequence of complexes of pro-modules

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{M}\otimes_k P_*\longrightarrow\mathbf{N}\otimes_k P_*\longrightarrow\mathbf{K}\otimes_k P_*\longrightarrow 0.$$

The corresponding long exact sequence of pro-homologies is as desired. □

Proposition 2.34. *Let* $\mathbf{C}_*\in\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbf{CHAIN}(k))$ *be a pro-complex of k-modules, and let* $G\in\mathbf{Mod}(k)$. *There is a natural on* $\mathbf{C}_*$ *and* G *pairing*

$$\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{C}_*)\otimes_k G\longrightarrow\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{C}_*\otimes_k G).$$

Proof. For each $a\in G$ we will define morphisms

$$\overline{a}_*:\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{C}_*)\longrightarrow\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{C}_*\otimes_k G)$$

which satisfy the condition $\left(\overline{sa+tb}\right)_*=\overline{a}_*+\overline{b}_*$, $a,\ b\in G$, $s,\ t\in k$. Since, due to Theorem 2.17,

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(k)}\left(G,Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{C}_*,\mathbf{C}_*\otimes_k G)\right)\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{C}_*\otimes_k G,\mathbf{C}_*\otimes_k G),$$

let

$$\varphi_n:G\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{C}_n,\mathbf{C}_n\otimes_k G)$$

be a family of morphisms corresponding to $1_n\in Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(k)}(\mathbf{C}_n\otimes_k G,\mathbf{C}_n\otimes_k G)$. The morphisms

$$\varphi_n(a):\mathbf{C}_n\longrightarrow\mathbf{C}_n\otimes_k G$$

define a chain mapping inducing the desired morphisms $\overline{a}_n:\mathbf{H}_n(\mathbf{C}_*)\to\mathbf{H}_n(\mathbf{C}_*\otimes_k G)$. □

From now on, we assume that k **is quasi-noetherian**. Proposition 2.35 below shows that quasi-projective resolutions can be used to define the torsion functors.

Proposition 2.35. *Let* $\mathbf{M}\in\mathbf{Pro}(k)$, $G\in\mathbf{Mod}(k)$, *and let*

$$0\longleftarrow\mathbf{M}\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_0\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_1\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_2\longleftarrow ...$$

be an exact sequence of pro-modules where all $\mathbf{P}_i$ *are quasi-projective. Then the homology groups of the complex* $\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k G$ *are naturally isomorphic to the torsion pro-modules:*

$$\mathbf{H}_n(\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k G)\simeq\mathbf{Tor}_n^k(\mathbf{M},G),n\geq 0.$$

Proof. Induction on n.

Step $n=0$: since $\otimes_k$ commutes with direct limits,

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbf{H}_0\left(\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k G\right)\simeq\mathbf{coker}\left(\mathbf{P}_1\otimes_k G\longrightarrow\mathbf{P}_0\otimes_k G\right)\simeq\\ \mathbf{coker}\left(\mathbf{P}_1\longrightarrow\mathbf{P}_0\right)\otimes_k G\simeq\mathbf{M}\otimes_k G\simeq\mathbf{Tor}_0^k\left(\mathbf{M},G\right).\end{gathered}$$

Step $n\Rightarrow n+1$: let $\mathbf{N}=\ker\left(\mathbf{M}\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_0\right)$. The short exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{N}\longrightarrow\mathbf{P}_0\longrightarrow\mathbf{M}\longrightarrow 0$$

induces, due to Proposition 2.33, a long exact sequence

$$...\longleftarrow 0=\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{P}_0,G\right)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{N},G\right)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_{n+1}^k\left(\mathbf{M},G\right)\longleftarrow 0=\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{P}_0,G\right)\longleftarrow...,$$

which, in turn, implies an isomorphism $\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{N},G\right)\simeq\mathbf{Tor}_{n+1}^k\left(\mathbf{M},G\right)$. There is an evident resolution for $\mathbf{N}$:

$$0\longleftarrow\mathbf{N}\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_1\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_2\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_3\longleftarrow...$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{N},G\right)\simeq\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_{*+1}\otimes_k G\right)\simeq\mathbf{H}_{n+1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k G\right).$$

Finally, $\mathbf{Tor}_{n+1}^k\left(\mathbf{M},G\right)\simeq\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{N},G\right)\simeq\mathbf{H}_{n+1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k G\right)$, as desired. □

Proposition 2.36. *(The second long exact sequence) Let* $\mathbf{M}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(k\right)$*, and let*

$$0\longrightarrow A\longrightarrow B\longrightarrow C\longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of k*-modules. Then there exists a natural long exact sequence of pro-modules*

$$\begin{aligned}0\quad\longleftarrow\quad&\mathbf{M}\otimes_k C\longleftarrow\mathbf{M}\otimes_k B\longleftarrow\mathbf{M}\otimes_k A\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_1^k\left(\mathbf{M},C\right)\longleftarrow...\\ ...\quad\longleftarrow\quad&\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{M},C\right)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{B},B\right)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_n^k\left(\mathbf{M},A\right)\longleftarrow\mathbf{Tor}_{n+1}^k\left(\mathbf{M},C\right)\longleftarrow...\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$0\longleftarrow\mathbf{M}\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_0\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_1\longleftarrow\mathbf{P}_2\longleftarrow...$$

be a quasi-projective resolution of $\mathbf{M}$. Due to Proposition 2.30, there is a short exact sequence of complexes of pro-modules

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k A\longrightarrow\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k B\longrightarrow\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_k C\longrightarrow 0.$$

Because of Proposition 2.35, the corresponding long exact sequence of pro-homologies is isomorphic to the desired sequence. □

Theorem 2.37. *Let* $\mathbf{P}_*\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ *be a pro-complex of abelian groups, such that* $\mathbf{P}_n$ *is quasi-projective for all* $n\in\mathbb{Z}$*, and let* $G\in\mathbf{Mod}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$*. Then for any* $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ *the pairing*

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\longrightarrow\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\right)$$

is a monomorphism, with the cokernel naturally (on $\mathbf{P}_*$ *and* G*) isomorphic to* $\mathbf{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right),G\right)$*. In other words, there exist natural on* $\mathbf{P}_*$ *and* G *short exact sequences (*$n\in\mathbb{Z}$*)*

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\longrightarrow\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\right)\longrightarrow\mathbf{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right),G\right)\longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let

$$0 \longleftarrow G \longleftarrow Q_0 \longleftarrow Q_1 \longleftarrow 0$$

be a free resolution for G. Due to Proposition 2.30, there is a short exact sequence in $\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the functors $? \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_0$ and $? \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_1$ are exact, the induced long exact sequence of pro-homologies will have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} ... \quad \longrightarrow \quad & \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\right) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \quad & \mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_0 \longrightarrow .... \end{aligned}$$

The latter sequence splits into the desired pieces:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad & \mathbf{coker}\left(\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_0\right) \simeq \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{P}_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\right) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \quad & \ker\left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q_0\right) \simeq \mathbf{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{P}_*\right), G\right) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Spectral sequences

3.1. **Towers.** Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \left(... \longrightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{i=i_n} A_{n-1} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow A_1 \longrightarrow A_0\right)$$

be a tower of abelian groups, and let

$$\mathbf{A}^{(r)} = \left(... \longrightarrow A_n^{(r)} \xrightarrow{p} A_{n-1}^{(r)} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow A_1^{(r)} \longrightarrow A_0^{(r)}\right)$$

where

$$A_n^{(r)} = i^r A_n.$$

Remark 3.1. *We assume that* $i_n : A_n \longrightarrow A_{n-1}$ *is zero when* $n \geq 0$*, hence* $A_n^{(r)} = 0$ *when* $r > n$*.*

Definition 3.2. *Let* $\mathbf{A} = (A_n, i)$ *be a tower. The first derived inverse limit is defined as follows:*

$$\varprojlim{}_n^1 A_n = \mathbf{coker}\left(\prod_{n\geq 0} A_n \xrightarrow{1-i} \prod_{n\geq 0} A_n\right).$$

Remark 3.3. *It is clear that*

$$\varprojlim{}_n A_n = \ker\left(\prod_{n\geq 0} A_n \xrightarrow{1-i} \prod_{n\geq 0} A_n\right).$$

Theorem 3.4. *(Mittag-Leffler)*

(1) *For a short exact sequence of towers*

$$0 \longrightarrow (A_n) \longrightarrow (B_n) \longrightarrow (C_n) \longrightarrow 0$$

there exist an 8 term exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_n A_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_n B_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_n C_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_n^1 A_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_n^1 B_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_n^1 C_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

(2) *If* i_n *are epimorphisms for all* n*, then* $\varprojlim^1_n A_n = 0$*. The condition can be substituted by a weaker "Mittag-Leffler condition", but we do not need this generalization.*

(3) *For any* r*,*

$$\varprojlim_n A_{n+r} \simeq \varprojlim_n A_n,\ \varprojlim^1_n A_{n+r} \simeq \varprojlim^1_n A_n.$$

(4) *For any* r*,*

$$\varprojlim_n A_n^{(r)} \simeq \varprojlim_n A_n,\ \varprojlim^1_n A_n^{(r)} \simeq \varprojlim^1_n A_n.$$

(5)

$$\varprojlim_n \varprojlim_r A_n^{(r)} \simeq \varprojlim_n A_n.$$

(6) *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim^1_n \varprojlim_r A_n^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim^1_n A_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n \varprojlim^1_r A_n^{(r)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

(7)

$$\varprojlim^1_n \varprojlim^1_r A_n^{(r)} = 0$$

(8)

$$\varprojlim^1_r A_n^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim^1_r A_{n-1}^{(r)}$$

are epimorphisms for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*.*

Proof. To prove (1), consider the following short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \prod_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1-i"] & \prod_{n\geq 0} B_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1-i"] & \prod_{n\geq 0} C_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "1-i"] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \prod_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] & \prod_{n\geq 0} B_n \arrow[r] & \prod_{n\geq 0} C_n \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The long exact sequence of cohomologies reduces to the desired 8 term exact sequence, because

$$H^n\left(\prod_{n\geq 0} A_n \xrightarrow{1-i} \prod_{n\geq 0} A_n\right) = \begin{cases} \varprojlim_n A_n & \text{if} \quad n = 0 \\ \varprojlim^1_n A_n & \text{if} \quad n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad n > 1 \end{cases},$$

and similarly for (B_n) and (C_n).

To prove (2), notice that

$$\prod_{n\geq 0} A_n \xrightarrow{1-i} \prod_{n\geq 0} A_n$$

is an epimorphism whenever all i_n are epimorphisms.

To prove (3), let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "d=1-i"] \arrow[d, shift right, "i"'] & C^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "i"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & D^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r, "d=1-i"] \arrow[u, shift right, "j"'] & D^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] \arrow[u, shift right, "j"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a pair of morphisms

$$C^* \xrightarrow{i} D^* \xrightarrow{j} C^*$$

of cochain complexes where j is the natural projection.

Define cochain homotopies

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "1-i"] \arrow[d, shift right, "ji"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] & C^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_{n+1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "ji"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] \arrow[dl, "S"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_{n+1} \arrow[r, "1-i"'] & C^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_{n+1} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
S\left(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(-a_1, -a_2, ..., -a_n, ...\right) \\
\left(ji-1\right)\left(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(ia_2 - a_1, ia_3 - a_2, ..., ia_{n+1} - a_n, ...\right), \\
ji - 1 &= Sd + dS,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & D^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r, "1-i"] \arrow[d, shift right, "ij"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] & D^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "ji"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] \arrow[dl, "T"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & D^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r, "1-i"'] & D^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
T\left(a_0, a_1, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(-a_0, -a_1, ..., -a_n, ...\right) \\
\left(ij-1\right)\left(a_0, a_1, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(ia_1 - a_0, ia_1 - a_0, ..., ia_{n+1} - a_n, ...\right), \\
ij - 1 &= Td + dT.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, i and j are cochain homotopy equivalences which induce the isomorphisms

$$\varprojlim_n A_{n+1} \simeq \varprojlim_n A_n,\ \varprojlim_n^1 A_{n+1} \simeq \varprojlim_n^1 A_n.$$

By induction on r, one can easily deduce the desired isomorphisms

$$\varprojlim_n A_{n+r} \simeq \varprojlim_n A_n,\ \varprojlim\limits_n{}^1 A_{n+r} \simeq \varprojlim_n^1 A_n.$$

To prove (4), let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r, "d=1-i"] \arrow[d, shift right, "i"'] & C^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "i"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & D^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n^{(1)} \arrow[r, "d=1-i"] \arrow[u, shift right, "j"'] & D^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n^{(1)} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, shift right, "j"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

be a pair of morphisms

$$C^* \xrightarrow{i} D^* \xrightarrow{j} C^*$$

of cochain complexes where j is the natural inclusion.

Define cochain homotopies

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & C^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r, "1-i"] \arrow[d, shift right, "ji"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] & C^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "ji"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] \arrow[dl, "S"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & C^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r, "1-i"'] & C^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
S\left(a_0, a_1, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(-a_0, -a_1, ..., -a_n, ...\right) \\
\left(ji-1\right)\left(a_0, a_1, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(ia_1 - a_0, ia_1 - a_0, ..., ia_{n+1} - a_n, ...\right), \\
ji - 1 &= Sd + dS.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & D^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n^{(1)} \arrow[r, "1-i"] \arrow[d, shift right, "ij"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] & D^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n^{(1)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right, "ji"'] \arrow[d, shift left, "1"] \arrow[dl, "T"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & D^0 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n^{(1)} \arrow[r, "1-i"'] & D^1 = \prod\limits_{n\geq 0} A_n^{(1)} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}
T\left(a_0, a_1, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(-a_0, -a_1, ..., -a_n, ...\right) \\
\left(ij-1\right)\left(a_0, a_1, ..., a_n, ...\right) &= \left(ia_1 - a_0, ia_1 - a_0, ..., ia_{n+1} - a_n, ...\right), \\
ij - 1 &= Td + dT.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, i and j are cochain homotopy equivalences which induce the isomorphisms

$$\varprojlim_n A_n^{(1)} \simeq \varprojlim_n A_n,\ \varprojlim_n^1 A_n^{(1)} \simeq \varprojlim_n^1 A_n.$$

By induction on r, one can easily deduce the desired isomorphisms

$$\varprojlim_n A_n^{(r)} \simeq \varprojlim_n A_n,\ \varprojlim_n^1 A_n^{(r)} \simeq \varprojlim_n^1 A_n.$$

To prove (5)-(7), consider the cochain bicomplex F^{**}:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & F^{01}=\prod\limits_{n\geq 0}\prod\limits_{r\geq 0} A_n^{(r)} \arrow[r, "(1-i,1)"] & F^{11}=\prod\limits_{n\geq 0}\prod\limits_{r\geq 0} A_n^{(r)} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F^{00}=\prod\limits_{n\geq 0}\prod\limits_{r\geq 0} A_n^{(r)} \arrow[r, "(1-i,1)"] \arrow[u, "(1,1-i)"] & F^{10}=\prod\limits_{n\geq 0}\prod\limits_{r\geq 0} A_n^{(r)} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "(1,1-i)"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

($F^{st}=0$ if $s\neq 0$, 1, or if $t\neq 0$, 1). It produces two "classical" spectral sequences converging to the cohomology of the total complex T^*:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_r^{st} &\Longrightarrow H^{s+t}\left(T^*\right), \\
\mathcal{E}_r^{st} &\Longrightarrow H^{s+t}\left(T^*\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2^{00} &= \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_r A_n^{(r)},\ E_2^{10}=\varprojlim_n^1 \varprojlim_r A_n^{(r)}, \\
E_2^{01} &= \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_r^1 A_n^{(r)},\ E_2^{11}=\varprojlim_n^1 \varprojlim_r^1 A_n^{(r)},
\end{aligned}$$

and, due to (4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_2^{00} &= \varprojlim_r \varprojlim_n A_n^{(r)} = \varprojlim_r \varprojlim_n A_n = \varprojlim_n A_n, \\
\mathcal{E}_2^{10} &= \varprojlim_r \varprojlim_n^1 A_n^{(r)} = \varprojlim_r \varprojlim_n^1 A_n = \varprojlim_n^1 A_n, \\
\mathcal{E}_2^{01} &= \varprojlim_r^1 \varprojlim_n A_n^{(r)} = \varprojlim_r^1 \varprojlim_n A_n = 0, \\
\mathcal{E}_2^{11} &= \varprojlim_r^1 \varprojlim_n^1 A_n^{(r)} = \varprojlim_r^1 \varprojlim_n^1 A_n = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varprojlim_n \varprojlim_r A_n^{(r)} &= E_2^{00} = H^0\left(T^*\right) = \mathcal{E}_2^{00} = \varprojlim_n A_n, \\
\varprojlim_n^1 \varprojlim_r^1 A_n^{(r)} &= E_2^{11} = H^2\left(T^*\right) = \mathcal{E}_2^{11} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the second spectral sequence,

$$H^1\left(T^*\right) = \mathcal{E}_2^{10} = \varprojlim_n^1 A_n,$$

while from the first one, $H^1\left(T^*\right)$ has a two-fold filtration with subquotients E_2^{01} and E_2^{10}, giving the desired exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_2^{10} = \varprojlim_n^1 \varprojlim_r A_n^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n^1 A_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n \varprojlim_r^1 A_n^{(r)} = E_2^{01} \longrightarrow 0.$$

It remains only to prove (7). Fix n, and consider an exact sequence of towers (with respect to r):

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(B^{(r)}\right) \longrightarrow \left(A_n^{(r)}\right) \xrightarrow{i} \left(A_{n-1}^{(r+1)}\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$B^{(r)} = \ker\left(A_n^{(r)} \xrightarrow{i} A_{n-1}^{(r+1)}\right).$$

This exact sequence induces the 8 term exact sequence from (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\longrightarrow \varprojlim_r B^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_r A_n^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_r A_{n-1}^{(r+1)} \longrightarrow \\
&\longrightarrow \varprojlim_r^1 B^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_r^1 A_n^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_r^1 A_{n-1}^{(r+1)} \longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that, due to (4),

$$\varprojlim\nolimits_r^1 A_{n-1}^{(r+1)} = \varprojlim\nolimits_r^1 A_{n-1}^{(r)},$$

and

$$\varprojlim\nolimits_r^1 A_n^{(r)} \longrightarrow \varprojlim\nolimits_r^1 A_{n-1}^{(r+1)} = \varprojlim\nolimits_r^1 A_{n-1}^{(r)}$$

is an epimorphism. □

3.2. Bicomplexes.

Definition 3.5. *Let (C^{**}, d, δ) be a cochain bicomplex, with the horizontal differential d and the vertical differential δ, concentrated in the I and IV quadrant, i.e. $C^{st} = 0$ if $s < 0$. Let $Tot\,(C)$ be the following cochain complex:*

$$Tot\,(C)^n = \prod_{s+t=n} C^{st}$$

with the differential $(\partial c)^{st} = dc^{s-1,t} + (-1)^s\,\delta c^{s,t-1}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(c^{st}\right) &\in \prod_{s+t=n-1} C^{st} = Tot\,(C)^{n-1}\,,\\
\left((\partial c)^{st}\right) &\in \prod_{s+t=n-1} C^{st} = Tot\,(C)^{n}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\partial\partial = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial\partial c)^{st} &= d\,(\partial c)^{s-1,t} + (-1)^s\,\delta\,(\partial c)^{s,t-1} =\\
&= d\left(dc^{s-2,t} + (-1)^{s-1}\,\delta c^{s-1,t-1}\right) + (-1)^s\,\delta\left(dc^{s-1,t-1} + (-1)^s\,\delta c^{s,t-2}\right) =\\
&= (-1)^{s-1}\,d\delta c^{s-1,t-1} + (-1)^s\,\delta d c^{s-1,t-1} = (-1)^s\,(-d\delta + \delta d) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and $(Tot\,(C)\,, \partial)$ is a cochain complex.

Remark 3.6. *Notice that we use **products** instead of **direct sums**.*

Let now

$$Tot^{(p)}\,(C)^n = \prod_{s=0}^{p} C^{s,n-s}.$$

Denote by letter $\partial^{(p)}$ the differential

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^{(p)} &: Tot^{(p)}\,(C)^{n-1} \longrightarrow Tot^{(p)}\,(C)^{n-1}\,,\\
\left(\partial^{(p)}c\right)^{s,n-s} &= dc^{s-1,n-s} + (-1)^s\,\delta c^{s,n-s-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\left(Tot^{(p)}\,(C)\,, \partial^{(p)}\right)$ become cochain complexes, and there are natural projections

$$Tot\,(C) \longrightarrow Tot^{(p)}\,(C)$$

that are epimorphisms of cochain complexes. Clearly,

$$Tot\,(C) = \varprojlim\nolimits_p Tot^{(p)}\,(C)\,.$$

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{st} &= D_1^{st} = H^{s+t}\left(Tot^{(s)}\,(C)\right),\\
E^{st} &= E_1^{st} = H^{s+t}\Gamma^{(s)}\,(C)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma^{(s)}(C) = \ker\left(Tot^{(s)}(C) \longrightarrow Tot^{(s-1)}(C)\right)$$

The long exact sequence corresponding to the short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma^{(s)}(C) \longrightarrow Tot^{(s)}(C) \longrightarrow Tot^{(s-1)}(C) \longrightarrow 0$$

gives an exact couple

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D = D_1 \arrow[rr, "i", "{(-1,1)}"'] & & D = D_1 \arrow[dl, "j"', "{(1,0)}"] \\
& E = E_1 \arrow[ul, "k"', "{(0,0)}"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

of bi-graded abelian groups and homogeneous morphisms with the bi-degrees written at the corresponding arrows.

One can construct the derived exact couples

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D_r \arrow[rr, "i_r", "{(-1,1)}"'] & & D_r \arrow[dl, "j_r"', "{(r,1-r)}"] \\
& E_r \arrow[ul, "k_r"', "{(0,0)}"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $D_r = i^{r-1}D$, $E_r = H(E_{r-1}, d = j_{r-1}k_{r-1})$ is the cohomology of the complex build on the previous couple, i_r is induced by i_{r-1}, k_r is induced by k_{r-1}, while $j_r = j_{r-1}(i_{r-1})^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.7. *(spectral sequence of a bicomplex)*

1. $E_1^{st} = (H_{ver}(C))^{st}$ *where* H_{ver} *is the cohomology in the vertical direction.*
2. $E_2^{st} = (H_{hor}H_{ver}(C))^{st}$ *where* H_{hor} *is the cohomology in the horizontal direction.*
3. $D_2^{0,n} \simeq E_2^{0,n}$.
4. *The groups* D_2^{st} *are included in long exact sequences*

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0 & \longrightarrow & E_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{0,n} \longrightarrow \\
 & \longrightarrow & E_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{3,n-1} \longrightarrow ... \\
... & \longrightarrow & E_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s-1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{s+1,n-1} \longrightarrow ...
\end{array}$$

5. *There are short exact sequences* ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim\nolimits_s^1 H^{n-1}Tot^{(s)}(C) \longrightarrow H^nTot(C) \longrightarrow \varprojlim\nolimits_s H^nTot^{(s)}(C) \longrightarrow 0.$$

6. *There are short exact sequences* ($r \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim\nolimits_s^1 D_r^{s,n-s-1} \longrightarrow H^nTot(C) \longrightarrow \varprojlim\nolimits_s D_r^{s,n-s} \longrightarrow 0.$$

7. *If* $C \longrightarrow C'$ *is a morphism of bicomplexes inducing isomorphisms* $E_2^{st}(C) \simeq E_2^{st}(C')$ *for all* s, t, *then*

$$H^nTot(C) \simeq H^nTot(C').$$

(8) *If*

$$\varprojlim_r^1 E_r^{st} = 0$$

then E_r^{st} ***completely*** *(in the sense of* [BK72]*, IX.5.3) or* ***strongly*** *(in the sense of* [Boa99]*, Definition 5.2) converges to* $H^{s+t}(Tot(C))$*. The latter means that* $H^n(Tot(C))$ *is an inverse limit:* $H^n(Tot(C)) \simeq$

$$\varprojlim_s \left(... \longrightarrow Q^{s,n-s} \longrightarrow Q^{s-1,n-s+1} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow Q^{0,n} \longrightarrow Q^{-1,n+1} = 0\right)$$

of epimorphisms with the kernels

$$\ker\left(Q^{s,n-s} \longrightarrow Q^{s-1,n-s+1}\right) \simeq E_\infty^{st} := \varprojlim_r E_r^{st}.$$

Proof. We could not find in the literature the proof of the statements above directly in the form we need. The ideas of the desired proof can be found, however, in [Boa99], [BK72], [Tho85], [Pra01], [Pra89] and [Mar00].

(1) The n-th component of the kernel $\Gamma^{(s)}(C)$ consists of the elements

$$c = (0, 0, ..., a) \in \prod_{i=0}^{s} C^{i,n-i}.$$

Let us compute ∂c:

$$(\partial c)^{j,n+1-j} = dc^{j-1,n+1-j} + (-1)^s \delta c^{j,n-j} = 0$$

when $j < s$, and $= (-1)^s \delta a$ when $j = s$, therefore

$$\partial c = (0, 0, ..., (-1)^s \delta a).$$

It means that (up to sign of the differentials) the complex $\Gamma^{(*)}(C)$ is isomorphic to the vertical s-th line of the bicomplex C^{**}, hence its cohomology is the vertical cohomology of C^{**}.

(2) It is enough to prove that the differential

$$jk : E_1^{st} = H_{ver}(C)^{st} \longrightarrow H_{ver}(C)^{s+1,t}$$

is induced by the horizontal differential d. To do this, we need to calculate j and k. Clearly, a class

$$e' = [e] \in E_1^{st} = \frac{\ker \delta}{Im(\delta)}$$

is mapped (under the mapping k) to the class $ke' = [\varepsilon]$ of

$$\varepsilon = (0, 0, ..., e) \in \ker \partial \subseteq Tot^{(s)}(C)^{s+t}.$$

Since $Tot^{(s+1)}(C)^{s+t} \to Tot^{(s)}(C)^{s+t}$ is an epimorphism, it is possible to find an element $\theta \in Tot^{(s+1)}(C)^{s+t}$ "lying over" ε. We have the right to choose

$$\theta = (0, 0, ..., e, 0) \in Tot^{(s+1)}(C)^{s+t}.$$

Apply ∂:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\theta &= (0, 0, ..., (-1)^s \delta e, de) = \\ &= (0, 0, ..., 0, de) \in \Gamma^{(s+1)}(C) \subseteq Tot^{(s+1)}(C)^{s+t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $jke' = de$, and the differential d' in the cochain complex E_1^{st} is induced by the horizontal differential d. Therefore,

$$E_2^{st} = \frac{\ker\left(d' : E_1^{st} \longrightarrow E_1^{s+1,t}\right)}{Im\left(d' : E_1^{st} \longrightarrow E_1^{s+1,t}\right)} = H_{hor}H_{ver}\left(C\right)^{st}.$$

(3) Consider the following parts of the long exact sequences of the derived couple

$$\begin{tikzcd} D_2 \arrow[rr, "i", "(-1,1)"'] & & D_2 \arrow[dl, "j", "(2,-1)"'] \\ & E_2 \arrow[ul, "k", "(0,0)"'] & \end{tikzcd}$$

$$0 = D_2^{-2,n+1} \longrightarrow E_2^{0,n} \longrightarrow D_2^{0,n} \longrightarrow D_2^{-1,n+1} = 0.$$

It follows that $E_2^{0,n} \simeq D_2^{0,n}$.

(4) Consider again long exact sequences of the derived couple

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & D_2^{-1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{0,n} \longrightarrow \\ & \longrightarrow & E_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{3,n-1} \longrightarrow ... \\ ... & \longrightarrow & E_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s-1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{s+1,n-1} \longrightarrow ... \end{array}$$

(5) Consider a short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

$$0 \longrightarrow Tot\left(C\right) \longrightarrow \prod_{s\geq 0} Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \xrightarrow{1-p} \prod_{s\geq 0} Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$p : Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \longrightarrow Tot^{(s-1)}\left(C\right)$$

is the natural projection. The long exact sequence of cohomologies

$$\begin{array}{rl} ... \longrightarrow & \prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n-1}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \xrightarrow{1-p} \prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n-1}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \longrightarrow H^nTot\left(C\right) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow & \prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \xrightarrow{1-p} \prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \longrightarrow ... \end{array}$$

splits into the desired pieces

$$\begin{array}{rl} 0 \longrightarrow & \mathbf{coker}\left(\prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n-1}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \xrightarrow{1-p} \prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n-1}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right)\right) = \varprojlim\nolimits_s^1\ H^{n-1}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow & H^nTot\left(C\right) \longrightarrow \ker\left(\prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \xrightarrow{1-p} \prod\limits_{s\geq 0} H^{n}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right)\right) = \varprojlim\nolimits_s\ H^nTot^{(s)}\left(C\right) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

(6) It follows from Theorem 3.4 (4) that

$$\begin{array}{l} \varprojlim\nolimits_s\ D_r^{s,n-s} \simeq \varprojlim\nolimits_s\ D_1^{s,n-s} = \varprojlim\nolimits_s\ H^nTot^{(s)}\left(C\right), \\ \varprojlim\nolimits_s^1\ D_r^{s,n-s-1} \simeq \varprojlim\nolimits_s^1\ D_1^{s,n-s-1} = \varprojlim\nolimits_s^1\ H^{n-1}Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right). \end{array}$$

(7) It follows from (3) that

$$D_2^{0,n}(C) \simeq E_2^{0,n}(C) \simeq E_2^{0,n}(C') \simeq D_2^{0,n}(C').$$

The morphism $C \to C'$ induces morphisms of exact sequences from (4) for C and C'. Applying the 5-lemma several times, one gets

$$D_2^{s,t}(C) \simeq D_2^{s,t}(C').$$

There is a morphism (which is an isomorphism in the second and the forth term) of short exact sequences from (6) for $r = 2$. Using again the 5-lemma, one gets the desired isomorphism

$$H^n Tot(C) \simeq H^n Tot(C').$$

(8) Consider the r-th derived couple

$$\begin{tikzcd} D_r \arrow[rr, "i_r", "{(-1,1)}"'] & & D_r \arrow[dl, "j_r"', "{(r,1-r)}"] \\ & E_r \arrow[ul, "k_r"', "{(0,0)}"] & \end{tikzcd}$$

Fix s and t. In the long exact sequence

$$\ldots \longrightarrow D_r^{s-r,t+r-1} \longrightarrow E_r^{st} \longrightarrow D_r^{st} \longrightarrow D_r^{s-1,t+1} \longrightarrow \ldots$$

the term $D_r^{s-r,t+r-1}$ equals zero for r large enough ($r > s$), and one gets a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_r^{st} \longrightarrow D_r^{st} \longrightarrow D_{r+1}^{s-1,t+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\varprojlim_r^1 E_r^{st} = 0$, one gets, due to Theorem 3.4 (1, 4), a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim_r E_r^{st} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_r D_r^{st} \longrightarrow \varprojlim_r D_r^{s-1,t+1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_r^1 D_r^{st} \simeq \varprojlim_r^1 D_r^{s-1,t+1}.$$

Varying s, one gets

$$\varprojlim_r^1 D_r^{st} \simeq \varprojlim_r^1 D_r^{s-1,t+1} \simeq \ldots \simeq \varprojlim_r^1 D_r^{0,s+t} \simeq \varprojlim_r^1 D_r^{-1,s+t+1} = 0.$$

Let us denote

$$Q^{s,n-s} := \varprojlim_r D_r^{s.n-s} = D_\infty^{s.n-s}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.4 (5) that

$$\varprojlim_s H^n\left(Tot^{(s)}(C)\right) \simeq \varprojlim_s \varprojlim_r D_r^{s,n-s} \simeq \varprojlim_s Q^{s,n-s}.$$

Since

$$\left(\ldots \longrightarrow Q^{s,n-s} \longrightarrow Q^{s-1,n-s+1} \longrightarrow \ldots\right)$$

is a tower of epimorphisms, $\varprojlim_s^1 Q^{s,n-s} = 0$, due to Theorem 3.4 (2). It follows from Theorem 3.4 (6), since $\varprojlim_s^1 D_r^{s,n-s-1} = 0$, that

$$\varprojlim_s^1 H^{n-1}\left(Tot^{(s)}(C)\right) = 0.$$

The short exact sequence (5) gives the desired isomorphism

$$H^n\left(Tot\left(C\right)\right)\simeq \varprojlim_s H^n\left(Tot^{(s)}\left(C\right)\right)\simeq \varprojlim_s Q^{s,n-s}.$$

We have proved that $H^n\left(Tot\left(C\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to the inverse limit of the tower $\left(Q^{s,n-s}\right)_{s=0}^{\infty}$ of epimorphisms with kernels $E_{\infty}^{s,n-s}$, hence E_r^{st} converges completely (in the sense of [BK72], IX.5.3) or strongly (in the sense of [Boa99], Definition 5.2) to $H^{s+t}\left(Tot\left(C\right)\right)$.

□

3.3. **Homotopy inverse limits.** On homotopy inverse limits of topological spaces, see [BK72], Chapter XI. Here we define and investigate the homotopy inverse limits for the diagrams of chain complexes.

Definition 3.8. *Let* $\mathbf{I}$ *be a small category, and let* $C:\mathbf{I}\longrightarrow \mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$ *be a functor to the category of chain complexes of abelian groups. Negating the indices, one gets a functor to the category of* ***co****chain complexes. Take the* ***cosimplicial replacement*** *(*[BK72]*, XI.5.1), which is a cosimplicial cochain complex, and, finally, a cochain bicomplex*

$$\left(R\mathbf{C}\right)^{st}=\prod_{\left(i_0\rightarrow i_1\rightarrow \ldots\rightarrow i_s\right)\in\mathbf{I}}C_{-t}\left(i_s\right)$$

with the horizontal differentials

$$d:\left(R\mathbf{C}\right)^{s-1,t}\longrightarrow\left(R\mathbf{C}\right)^{s,t},$$

$$dc\left(i_0\rightarrow i_1\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right)=$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\left(-1\right)^k c\left(i_0\rightarrow\ldots\longrightarrow\widehat{i_k}\longrightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right)+\left(-1\right)^s C\left(i_{s-1}\longrightarrow i_s\right)c\left(i_0\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_{s-1}\right),$$

and the vertical differentials

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &: \left(R\mathbf{C}\right)^{s,t-1}\longrightarrow\left(R\mathbf{C}\right)^{s,t},\\ \delta c\left(i_0\rightarrow i_1\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right) &= d_{-t}\left(i_s\right)c\left(i_0\rightarrow i_1\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_{-t}\left(i_s\right):C_{-t+1}\left(i_s\right)\longrightarrow C_{-t}\left(i_s\right)$$

is the differential in the chain complex $C_*\left(i_s\right)$*. Take the total complex* $Tot\left(R\mathbf{C}\right)$ *(using products, as in Definition 3.5), and negate the indices again. The resulting* ***chain*** *complex is called the homotopy inverse limit* $\varprojlim{\mathbf{holim}}_i\ \mathbf{C}$ *of the functor* $\mathbf{C}$*:*

$$\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_i\ \mathbf{C}\right)_n=\prod_s\ \prod_{\left(i_0\rightarrow i_1\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right)\in\mathbf{I}}C_{n+s}\left(i_s\right)$$

with the differential

$$\partial:\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\ \mathbf{C}\right)_{n+1}\longrightarrow\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\ \mathbf{C}\right)_n$$

given by

$$\partial c\left(i_0\rightarrow i_1\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right)=$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\left(-1\right)^k c\left(i_0\rightarrow\ldots\longrightarrow\widehat{i_k}\longrightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right)+$$

$$+\left(-1\right)^s C\left(i_{s-1}\longrightarrow i_s\right)c\left(i_0\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_{s-1}\right)+\left(-1\right)^s d_{n+s}\left(i_s\right)c\left(i_0\rightarrow i_1\rightarrow\ldots\rightarrow i_s\right).$$

Definition 3.9. *Let $\varphi : \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{I}$ be a **cofinal** functor (**left cofinal** in* [BK72], *XI.9.1), and let $C : \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow CHAIN(\mathbb{Z})$ be a functor. The natural homomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod\limits_{(i_0 \to i_1 \to \ldots \to i_s) \in \mathbf{I}} C(i_s) & \longrightarrow & \prod\limits_{(j_0 \to j_1 \to \ldots \to j_s) \in \mathbf{J}} C(\varphi(j_s)), \\ c & \longmapsto & c', \\ c'(j_0 \to j_1 \to \ldots \to j_s) & = & c(\varphi(j_0) \to \varphi(j_1) \to \ldots \to \varphi(j_s)) \end{array}$$

induce the morphism of chain complexes

$$\varphi^* : \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{j \in \mathbf{J}} (\mathbf{C} \circ \varphi).$$

Remark 3.10. *Notice that we **do not** require that the index categories $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{J}$ are cofiltrant.*

Theorem 3.11. *(spectral sequence of a homotopy inverse limit). Let E_r^{st} be the spectral sequence from Theorem 3.7 for the bicomplex $R\mathbf{C}$. Then:*

(1)
$$E_1^{st} = \prod_{(i_0 \to i_1 \to \ldots \to i_s) \in \mathbf{I}} H_{-t} C(i_s).$$

(2)
$$E_2^{st} = \underleftarrow{\lim}^s_{i \in \mathbf{I}} H_{-t}(C(i)).$$

(3) $D_2^{0,n} \simeq E_2^{0,n} \simeq \underleftarrow{\lim}_{i \in \mathbf{I}} H_{-n}(C(i))$.

(4) *The groups D_2^{st} are included in long exact sequences*

$$\begin{array}{cl} 0 \longrightarrow & E_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{0,n} \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow & E_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{3,n-1} \longrightarrow \ldots \\ \ldots \longrightarrow & E_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s-1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{s+1,n-1} \longrightarrow \ldots \end{array}$$

(5) *There are short exact sequences ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$)*

$$0 \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\lim}^1_s H_{n+1} Tot^{(s)}(C) \longrightarrow H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{C}\right) \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\lim}_s H_n Tot^{(s)}(C) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(6) *There are short exact sequences ($r \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}$)*

$$0 \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\lim}^1_s D_r^{s,-n-s-1} \longrightarrow H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{C}\right) \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\lim}_s D_r^{s,-n-s} \longrightarrow 0.$$

(7) *If $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}'$ is a morphism of functors inducing isomorphisms $E_2^{st}(\mathbf{C}) \simeq E_2^{st}(\mathbf{C}')$ for all s, t, then*

$$H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{C}\right) \simeq H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{C}'\right).$$

(8) *Let $\varphi : \mathbf{J} \to \mathbf{I}$ be a cofinal functor, and let*

$$\varphi^* : \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{j \in \mathbf{J}} (\mathbf{C} \circ \varphi)$$

be the corresponding morphism of complexes. Then φ^* is a weak equivalence (induces an isomorphism of homologies).

(9) *If*

$$\underleftarrow{\lim}^1_r E_r^{st} = 0$$

then E_r^{st} ***completely*** *(in the sense of* [BK72], *IX.5.3) or* ***strongly*** *(in the sense of* [Boa99], *Definition 5.2) converges to* $H_{-s-t}\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\ \mathbf{C}\right)$. *The latter means that* $H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}C\right)$ *is isomorphic to an inverse limit*

$$\underleftarrow{\lim}_s\left(\ldots\longrightarrow Q^{s,-n-s}\longrightarrow Q^{s-1,-n-s+1}\longrightarrow\ldots\longrightarrow Q^{0,-n}\longrightarrow Q^{-1,-n+1}=0\right)$$

of epimorphisms with the kernels

$$\ker\left(Q^{s,-n-s}\longrightarrow Q^{s-1,-n-s+1}\right)\simeq E_\infty^{s,-n-s}:=\underleftarrow{\lim}_r E_r^{s,-n-s}.$$

Proof.

(1) Follows from Theorem 3.7 (1).
(2) Follows from Theorem 3.7 (2) and Proposition XI.6.2 in [BK72].
(3) Follows from Theorem 3.7 (3).
(4) Follows from Theorem 3.7 (4).
(5) Negate indices in Theorem 3.7 (5).
(6) Negate indices in Theorem 3.7 (6).
(7) Negate indices in Theorem 3.7 (7).
(8) A cofinal functor induces an isomorphism of higher limits. This is a rather well-known fact. It can be proven similarly to the Cofinality Theorem ([BK72], XI.9.2). Moreover, the statement follows from ([BK72], XI.9.2 and XI.7.2). Therefore
$$E_2^{st}\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\ \mathbf{C}\right)\longrightarrow E_2^{st}\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{j\in\mathbf{J}}\left(\mathbf{C}\circ\varphi\right)\right)$$
is an isomorphism for all s, t. The desired isomorphism of homologies follows from (7).
(9) Negate indices in Theorem 3.7 (8).

□

Definition 3.12. *Let* $\mathbf{C}_*=\left(C_{*i}\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ *be a pro-complex. Define its homotopy inverse limit* $\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}$ *as follows:*

$$\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}_*:=\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\ C_{*i}.$$

Remark 3.13. *It follows from Proposition 3.14 below that the homotopy inverse limit of a pro-complex is well defined up to weak equivalence.*

Proposition 3.14. *The homotopy inverse limit from Definition 3.12 is a well-defined functor*

$$\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}:\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)\longrightarrow\mathbf{Ho}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$$

where $\mathbf{Ho}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ *is the category of fractions of the category* $\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$ *with respect to weak equivalences of complexes.*

Proof. The functor is well-defined on the category $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$. In order to use Theorem 2.6, one needs only to check that cofinal morphisms are mapped into weak equivalences. The latter fact, however, follows from Theorem 3.11 (8). □

Theorem 3.15. *(spectral sequence of a pro-complex). Let* $\mathbf{C}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$ *be a chain pro-complex. Then there exists a spectral sequence* $E_r^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$*, natural on* $\mathbf{C}$ *from* E_2 *on, such that:*

(1)
$$E_2^{st} = \varprojlim{}^s \ \mathbf{H}_{-t}\left(\mathbf{C}\right).$$

(2) $D_2^{0,n} \simeq E_2^{0,n} \simeq \varprojlim \mathbf{H}_{-n}\left(\mathbf{C}\right)$.

(3) *The groups* D_2^{st} *are included in long exact sequences*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{0,n} \longrightarrow \\ & \longrightarrow & E_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{3,n-1} \longrightarrow ... \\ ... & \longrightarrow & E_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s-1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{s+1,n-1} \longrightarrow ... \end{array}$$

(4) *There are short exact sequences* ($r \geq 2, n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_r^1 D_r^{s,-n-s-1} \longrightarrow H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}\right) \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_r D_r^{s,-n-s} \longrightarrow 0.$$

(5) *If* $\mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}'$ *is a morphism of pro-complexes inducing isomorphisms*

$$E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}\right) \simeq E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}'\right)$$

for all s, t, *then*

$$H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}\right) \simeq H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}'\right).$$

(6) *If*

$$\varprojlim{}_r^1 E_r^{st} = 0$$

then E_r^{st} ***completely*** *(in the sense of* [BK72], *IX.5.3) or* ***strongly*** *(in the sense of* [Boa99], *Definition 5.2) converges to* $H_{-s-t}\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}\right)$. *The latter means that* $H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}\right) \simeq$

$$\varprojlim{}_s \left(... \longrightarrow Q^{s,-n-s} \longrightarrow Q^{s-1,-n-s+1} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow Q^{0,-n} \longrightarrow Q^{-1,-n+1} = 0\right)$$

of epimorphisms with kernels

$$\ker\left(Q^{s,-n-s} \longrightarrow Q^{s-1,-n-s+1}\right) \simeq E_\infty^{s,-n-s} := \varprojlim{}_r E_r^{s,-n-s}.$$

Proof.

(1) The formula for E_2^{st} follows from Theorem 3.11 (2). To check naturality, use Theorem 2.6 and the facts that both $\mathbf{H}_{-t}$ and $\lim^s$ map cofinal morphisms into isomorphisms.

(2) Follows from Theorem 3.11 (3).

(3) Follows from Theorem 3.11 (4).

(4) Follows from Theorem 3.11 (6).

(5) It follows from (3) that

$$D_2^{0,n}\left(\mathbf{C}\right) \simeq E_2^{0,n}\left(\mathbf{C}\right) \simeq E_2^{0,n}\left(\mathbf{C}'\right) \simeq D_2^{0,n}\left(\mathbf{C}'\right).$$

The morphism $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}'$ induces morphisms of exact sequences from (4) for $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}'$. Applying the 5-lemma several times, one gets

$$D_2^{s,t}\left(\mathbf{C}\right) \simeq D_2^{s,t}\left(\mathbf{C}'\right).$$

There is a morphism (which is an isomorphism in the second and the forth term) of short exact sequences from (6) for $r = 2$. Using again the 5-lemma, one gets the desired isomorphism

$$H^n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}\right) \simeq H^n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}'\right).$$

(6) Follows from Theorem 3.11 (9).

□

4. Shape homology

4.1. **Pro-homology.** Let $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$, $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{HTOP}\right)$, $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{POL}\right)$, and $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{HPOL}\right)$ be the pro-categories from Example 2.7 (2).

Definition 4.1. *Let G be an abelian group. Given a pro-space $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$, or a pro-homotopy type $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{HTOP}\right)$, define*

$$\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)=\left(C_*\left(X_i,G\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$$

*to be the corresponding chain **pro-complex** (see Example 2.7 (3)) if $\mathbf{X}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$ or a **family** of chain complexes (if $\mathbf{X}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{HTOP}\right)$) where $C_*\left(X_i,G\right)$ is the singular chain complex for X_i with coefficients in G. Let $\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$ be the corresponding pro-homology group (or a family of abelian groups):*

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)=\left(H_n\left(C_*\left(X_i,G\right)\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}.$$

For a topological space X, let $X\to\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ be an HPol-expansion ([MS82]*, §I.2.1), or a strong polyhedral expansion (*[Mar00]*, §7.1.), i.e. $\mathbf{X}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{HPOL}\right)$ or $\mathbf{X}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{POL}\right)$. Let finally*

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(X,G\right):=\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},G\right).$$

It follows from [MS82]*, §II.3.1, that $\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$ are well defined abelian pro-groups that do not depend on the choice of an expansion $\mathbf{X}$.*

4.2. **Strong homology.** We define strong homology as in [Pra01], Definition 3.1.3. The definition is equivalent to that in [Mar00], Chapter 17 and 18, see [Pra01], Theorem 3(a).

Definition 4.2. *Given a pro-space $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$, let*

$$\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)=\left(C_*\left(X_i,G\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$$

be the corresponding singular chain pro-complex from Definition 4.1. Define

$$\overline{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},G\right):=H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right)\right)$$

where $\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}$ is the homotopy inverse limit from Definition 3.12. Given a topological space X, let $X\to\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ be a strong polyhedral expansion. The homology of $\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right)$ is called the strong homology of X with coefficients in G:

$$\overline{H}_n\left(X,G\right):=H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right)\right).$$

Remark 4.3. *Strong homology is strong shape invariant (see* [Mar00]*, Theorem 18.12). Compare with Proposition 4.10 (3).*

Remark 4.4. *$\overline{H}_n$ is defined for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ (the negative values of n included).*

Theorem 4.5. *(Spectral sequence for strong homology). Let X be a topological space as an object of the strong shape category $\mathbf{SSh}$. Then there exists a spectral sequence $E_r^{st}\left(X\right)$, natural on $X\in\mathbf{SSh}$ from E_2 on, such that:*

(1)
$$E_2^{st}=\underleftarrow{\lim}^s\ \mathbf{H}_{-t}\left(X,G\right).$$

(2) *$D_2^{0,n}\simeq E_2^{0,n}\simeq\check{H}_{-n}\left(X,G\right)$ where $\check{H}_*$ is Čech homology.*

(3) *The groups D_2^{st} are included in long exact sequences*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & \longrightarrow & E_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{0,n} \longrightarrow \\ & \longrightarrow & E_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{2,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{3,n-1} \longrightarrow ... \\ ... & \longrightarrow & E_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s,n-1} \longrightarrow D_2^{s-1,n} \longrightarrow E_2^{s+1,n-1} \longrightarrow ... \end{array}$$

(4) *There are short exact sequences ($r \geq 2, n \in \mathbb{Z}$)*

$$0 \longrightarrow \varprojlim\nolimits_r^1 D_r^{s,-n-s+1} \longrightarrow \overline{H}_n\left(X,G\right) \longrightarrow \varprojlim\nolimits_r D_r^{s,-n-s} \longrightarrow 0.$$

(5) *If*

$$\varprojlim\nolimits_r^1 E_r^{st} = 0$$

*then E_r^{st} **completely** (in the sense of* [BK72], *IX.5.3) or **strongly** (in the sense of* [Boa99], *Definition 5.2) converges to $\overline{H}_{-n}\left(X,G\right)$. The latter means that $\overline{H}_{-n}\left(X,G\right) \simeq$*

$$\varprojlim\nolimits_s \left(... \longrightarrow Q^{s,-n-s} \longrightarrow Q^{s-1,-n-s+1} \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow Q^{0,-n} \longrightarrow Q^{-1,-n+1} = 0\right)$$

of epimorphisms with kernels

$$\ker\left(Q^{s,-n-s} \longrightarrow Q^{s-1,-n-s+1}\right) \simeq E_\infty^{s,-n-s} := \varprojlim\nolimits_r E_r^{s,-n-s}.$$

Proof. Most statements of this Theorem were proved in [Pra89]. Let $X \to \mathbf{X} = \left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ be a strong polyhedral expansion. Apply Theorem 3.15 to the pro-complex $\left(C_*\left(X_i,G\right)\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ where $C_*\left(X_i,G\right)$ is the singular complex for X_i with coefficients in G.

To check that the spectral sequence is natural on E_2 on, apply Theorem 2.16. It is enough to check that both cofinal morphisms and level equivalences induce an isomorphism on E_2.

If $\mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}'$ is cofinal, then the corresponding morphism $\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},G\right) \to \mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X}',G\right)$ is isomorphisms in $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$, and induces therefore an isomorphism

$$E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{X}\right) = \lim\nolimits^s \mathbf{H}_{-t}\left(\mathbf{X},G\right) \longrightarrow E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{X}'\right) = \lim\nolimits^s \mathbf{H}_{-t}\left(\mathbf{X}',G\right).$$

Finally, if $\mathbf{X} = \left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \to \mathbf{X}' = \left(X_i'\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ is a level equivalence, the homomorphisms $C_*\left(X_i,G\right) \to C_*\left(X_i',G\right)$ are weak equivalences. Hence, $\mathbf{H}_{-t}\left(\mathbf{X},G\right) \to \mathbf{H}_{-t}\left(\mathbf{X}',G\right)$ and $E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{X}\right) \to E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{X}'\right)$ are isomorphisms, as desired. □

4.3. **Balanced homology.**

Definition 4.6. *Given a pro-space $\mathbf{X} = \left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}} \in \mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$, let $\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$ be the tensor product in the sense of Theorem 2.17*

$$\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right) := \mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \in \mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right).$$

where $\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)$ is the pro-complex from Definition 4.1. $\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$ can be represented by an inverse system

$$\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right) = \Big(\left(Y_*\right)_j\Big)_{j\in\mathbf{J}}.$$

Let $\mathbf{H}_n^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$ be the corresponding pro-homology group:

$$\mathbf{H}_n^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right) := \left(H_n\left(Y_j\right)\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}}.$$

Given a topological space X, let $X \to \mathbf{X} = \left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ be a strong polyhedral expansion. Define

$$\mathbf{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right) := \mathbf{H}_n^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right).$$

Remark 4.7. *Strictly speaking, the items Y_n above are abelian pro-groups that are represented by* ***different*** *index categories J_n:*

$$\mathbf{C}_n^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)=\left(\left(Y_n\right)_j\right)_{j\in\mathbf{J}_n}.$$

However, Remark 2.18 guarantees that the index categories $\mathbf{J}_n$ can be chosen to be equal, and the differentials to be level morphisms (Definition 2.2).

Definition 4.8. *Given a pro-space $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\in\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$, define*

$$\overline{H}_n^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right):=H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\left(\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right)\right)$$

where the complex in the brackets is the homotopy inverse limit from Definition 3.12. Given a topological space X, let $X\to\mathbf{X}=\left(X_i\right)_{i\in I}$ be a strong polyhedral expansion. Define

$$\overline{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right):=\overline{H}_n^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right).$$

Remark 4.9. *Due to Theorem 2.20, there are natural (on X and G) morphisms*

$$\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)=\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\longrightarrow\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}}G=\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$$

of pro-complexes inducing the morphisms $\mathbf{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right)\to\mathbf{H}_n\left(X,G\right)$ and $\overline{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right)\to\overline{H}_n\left(X,G\right)$.

Let us remind that **FAB** is the class of finitely generated abelian groups.

Proposition 4.10.

(1) *Balanced pro-homology is strong shape invariant.*
(2) *The mappings $\mathbf{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right)\to\mathbf{H}_n\left(X,G\right)$ are isomorphisms if $G\in\mathbf{FAB}$.*
(3) *Balanced strong homology is strong shape invariant.*
(4) *The mappings $\overline{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right)\to\overline{H}_n\left(X,G\right)$ are isomorphisms if $G\in\mathbf{FAB}$.*

Proof.

(1) The functor

$$\mathbf{X}\longmapsto\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right):?\longrightarrow\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$$

is evidently defined on the category $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$ (see Definition 2.1), therefore also on the category $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{POL}\right)$. Let $G\in\mathbf{Mod}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)$. Applying the tensor product from Theorem 2.17, one gets a functor

$$\mathbf{X}\longmapsto\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right):=\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G:\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{POL}\right)\longrightarrow\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$$

and functors

$$\mathbf{X}\longmapsto\mathbf{H}_n^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right):=\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right):\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{POL}\right)\longrightarrow\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right).$$

In order to use Theorem 2.16, let us check that special morphisms are mapped into isomorphisms. Due to Proposition 2.15, it is enough to check this for cofinal morphisms and for level equivalences. If $f:\mathbf{X}\to\mathbf{Y}$ is a cofinal morphism in $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{TOP}\right)$ then

$$\mathbf{C}_*\left(f,\mathbb{Z}\right):\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\longrightarrow\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{Y},\mathbb{Z}\right)$$

is a cofinal morphism in $\mathbf{Inv}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$, inducing therefore an isomorphism in $\mathbf{Pro}\left(\mathbf{CHAIN}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right)\right)$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(f,G\right):\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\longrightarrow\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{Y},G\right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}^b_* (f, G) : \mathbf{H}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^b_* (\mathbf{Y}, G)$$

are isomorphisms as well (in the categories **Pro** (**CHAIN** ($\mathbb{Z}$)) and **Pro** ($\mathbb{Z}$) respectively). Let now

$$f = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$$

be a level equivalence. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that both $\mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphisms in **Pro** ($\mathbb{Z}$). Hence, both

$$\mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G$$

and

$$\mathbf{Tor}^{\mathbb{Z}}_1 (\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}), G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Tor}^{\mathbb{Z}}_1 (\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbb{Z}), G)$$

are isomorphisms. The singular chain complexes $C_* (X_i, \mathbb{Z})$ and $C_* (Y_i, \mathbb{Z})$ consist of free abelian groups, therefore the pro-complexes $\mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbb{Z})$ consist of quasi-projective $\mathbb{Z}$-modules (Definition 2.21 and Proposition 2.22), and one can apply Theorem 2.37: there exists a morphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbf{H}^b_n (\mathbf{X}, G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Tor^{\mathbb{Z}}_1 (\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}), G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \arrow[r] & \mathbf{H}^b_n (\mathbf{Y}, G) \arrow[r] & Tor^{\mathbb{Z}}_1 (\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{Y}, \mathbb{Z}), G) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

The 5-lemma gives the desired isomorphism $\mathbf{H}^b_* (f, G) : \mathbf{H}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \to \mathbf{H}^b_* (\mathbf{Y}, G)$. Hence, the functors $\mathbf{H}^b_n (?, G)$ maps special morphisms into isomorphisms. Theorem 2.16 implies that one has well-defined functors $\mathbf{H}^b_n (?, G) : \mathbf{SSh} \to \mathbf{Mod} (\mathbb{Z})$.

(2) Follows from Theorem 2.20, because $\mathbb{Z}$ is noetherian, therefore any finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-module is finitely presented.

(3) The functors

$$\mathbf{X} \longmapsto \overline{C}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) := \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) : \mathbf{Inv} (\mathbf{POL}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{CHAIN} (\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$\mathbf{X} \longmapsto \overline{H}^b_n (\mathbf{X}, G) := H_n \left(\overline{C}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \right) : \mathbf{Inv} (\mathbf{POL}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Mod} (\mathbb{Z}),$$

are well-defined. In order to extend the definitions to the strong shape category **SSh**, it is enough, due to Theorem 2.16 and Proposition 2.15, to check whether cofinal morphisms and level equivalences are mapped into isomorphisms. If $f : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ is cofinal, it follows from Theorem 3.11 (8), that

$$\overline{C}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) = \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}^b_* (\mathbf{Y}, G) = \overline{C}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G)$$

is a weak equivalence of complexes, hence

$$\overline{H}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) = H_n \left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \right) \longrightarrow H_n \left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}^b_* (\mathbf{Y}, G) \right) = \overline{H}^b_* (\mathbf{X}, G)$$

is an isomorphism. Let now

$$f = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{X} = (X_i)_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_i)_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$$

be a level equivalence. It follows from (1) that

$$E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}_*^b(\mathbf{X},G)\right)=\varprojlim{}^s H_{-t}\left(C_*(\mathbf{X},G)\right)\longrightarrow\varprojlim{}^s H_{-t}\left(C_*(\mathbf{X},G)\right)=E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}_*^b(\mathbf{Y},G)\right)$$

is an isomorphism for all s, $t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Using Theorem 3.11 (7), one concludes that

$$\overline{H}_*^b(\mathbf{X},G)=H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}_*^b(\mathbf{X},G)\right)\longrightarrow H_n\left(\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\ \mathbf{C}_*^b(\mathbf{Y},G)\right)=\overline{H}_*^b(\mathbf{X},G)$$

is an isomorphism. Finally, Theorem 2.16 guarantees that $\overline{H}_n^b(?,G)$ are well-defined functors from **SSh** to $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$.

(4) Follows from Theorem 2.20.

□

5. Proof of the main results

5.1. Proof of Proposition 0.1.

Proof. For each $a\in G$ and for each of the four theories h_* we will define morphisms

$$\overline{a}_*:h_*(X,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow h_*(X,G)$$

which satisfy the condition $\left(\overline{a+b}\right)_*=\overline{a}_*+\overline{b}_*$, a, $b\in G$. Let $X\to\mathbf{X}=(X_i)_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ be a polyhedral expansion, and let $(C_*(X_i,\mathbb{Z}))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ and $(C_*(X_i,G))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$ be the corresponding pro-complexes

(1) **Pro-homology**. The mappings $c\longmapsto c\otimes a$ define morphisms of pro-complexes

$$\overline{a}_*:(C_*(X_i,\mathbb{Z}))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\longrightarrow(C_*(X_i,G))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$$

and morphisms of their pro-homology groups

$$\overline{a}_*:(H_*(X_i,\mathbb{Z}))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\longrightarrow(H_*(X_i,G))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}.$$

Clearly $\left(\overline{a+b}\right)_*=\overline{a}_*+\overline{b}_*$, and we get a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$a\longmapsto\overline{a}_*:G\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}),\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{X},G)\right).$$

Theorem 2.17 gives the desired homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\longrightarrow\mathbf{H}_*(\mathbf{X},G).$$

(2) **Strong homology**. The mappings

$$\overline{a}_*:(C_*(X_i,\mathbb{Z}))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\longrightarrow(C_*(X_i,G))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$$

from (1) define morphisms of the homotopy inverse limits

$$\overline{a}_*:\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_i\,(C_*(X_i,\mathbb{Z}))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}\longrightarrow\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}_i\,(C_*(X_i,G))_{i\in\mathbf{I}}$$

and their homologies

$$\overline{a}_*:\overline{H}_*(X,\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow\overline{H}_*(X,G).$$

Clearly $\left(\overline{a+b}\right)_*=\overline{a}_*+\overline{b}_*$, and we get a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$a\longmapsto\overline{a}_*:G\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\overline{H}_*(X,\mathbb{Z}),\overline{H}_*(X,G)\right).$$

The usual properties of the tensor product give the desired homomorphism

$$\overline{H}_*(X,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\longrightarrow\overline{H}_*(X,G).$$

(3) **Balanced pro-homology**. Due to Theorem 2.17, there is a natural isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned}&Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(G, Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right), \mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right)\right)\simeq\\ &\simeq Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G, \mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G = \mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$ by definition, let

$$\varphi\in\left(G, Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right), \mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right)\right)$$

be the morphism corresponding to the identity morphism $\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\to \mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$ under the isomorphism above. For $a\in G$, let

$$\overline{\varphi}\left(a\right)_* : \mathbf{H}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right).$$

be the induced mapping of pro-homologies. The correspondence $a\mapsto\overline{\varphi}\left(a\right)_*$ defines a homomorphism

$$G\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{H}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right), \mathbf{H}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right).$$

Applying again Theorem 2.17, one gets the desired morphism of abelian pro-groups

$$\mathbf{H}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right).$$

(4) **Balanced strong homology**. The morphisms

$$\varphi\left(a\right)\in Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right), \mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)\right)$$

from (3) define morphisms

$$\underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\,\varphi\left(a\right) : \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\,\mathbf{C}_*\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\,\mathbf{C}_*^b\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$$

and the corresponding morphisms of homologies

$$\overline{a}_* : \overline{H}_*\left(X,\mathbb{Z}\right) = \overline{H}_*^b\left(X,\mathbb{Z}\right)\longrightarrow \overline{H}_*^b\left(X,G\right).$$

Clearly $\left(\overline{a+b}\right)_* = \overline{a}_* + \overline{b}_*$, and one gets a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$a\longmapsto\overline{a}_* : G\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\overline{H}_*^b\left(X,\mathbb{Z}\right), \overline{H}_*^b\left(X,G\right)\right).$$

The usual properties of the tensor product give the desired homomorphism

$$\overline{H}_*^b\left(X,\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G\longrightarrow \overline{H}_*^b\left(X,G\right).$$

□

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.**

Proof. Since for $G\in\mathbf{FAB}$, the natural morphisms $\mathbf{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right)\to\mathbf{H}_n\left(X,G\right)$ and $\overline{H}_n^b\left(X,G\right)\to\overline{H}_n\left(X,G\right)$ are isomorphisms (Theorem 4.10 (2, 4)), it is enough to prove our statement for the two non-balanced homology theories. Let $X\to\mathbf{X}$ be a strong polyhedral expansion. Consider first the case $G=\mathbb{Z}^n$ is a free finitely generated abelian group. Clearly, $\mathbf{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{X}\right), G\right)=0$ and $Tor_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\overline{H}_{n-1}\left(\mathbf{X}\right), G\right)=0$, and it is enough to notice that both

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G = \bigoplus^n \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$$

and

$$\overline{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G = \bigoplus^n \overline{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}\right)\longrightarrow \overline{H}_n\left(\mathbf{X},G\right)$$

are isomorphisms.

Let now

$$0 \longleftarrow G \longleftarrow F_0 \longleftarrow F_1 \longleftarrow 0$$

be a resolution of G where F_i are free finitely generated abelian groups. There is a short exact sequence of pro-complexes and level morphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, F_0) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \longrightarrow 0$$

inducing a short exact sequence of homotopy inverse limits

$$0 \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, F_0) \longrightarrow \underleftarrow{\mathbf{holim}}\, \mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The first sequence gives rise to long exact sequence of pro-homologies

$$... \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, F_0) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_* (\mathbf{X}, G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_0) \longrightarrow ...$$

while the second one induces a long exact sequence of strong homologies

$$... \longrightarrow \overline{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, F_0) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, G) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_0) \longrightarrow ...$$

Consider a morphism of exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, F_0) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, G) \arrow[r] & \mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \\
\mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha"'] & \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\beta"'] & \mathbf{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\gamma"'] & 0 \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since α and β are isomorphisms, γ is a monomorphism with the cokernel equal to

$$\ker \left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_0)\right) \simeq \ker \left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_0\right) \simeq$$
$$\simeq \mathbf{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}), G\right).$$

Similarly, $\overline{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \to \overline{H}_n (\mathbf{X}, G)$ is a monomorphism with the cokernel equal to

$$\ker \left(\overline{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_1) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, F_0)\right) \simeq \ker \left(\overline{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_1 \longrightarrow \overline{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F_0\right) \simeq$$
$$\simeq Tor_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \left(\overline{H}_{n-1} (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z}), G\right).$$

□

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.**

Proof. Let $X \to \mathbf{X}$ be a strong polyhedral expansion. Apply Theorem 2.37 to the pro-complex $\mathbf{C}_* (\mathbf{X}, \mathbb{Z})$. □

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.**

Definition 5.1. *For an arbitrary abelian group H, let $\mathbf{P}(H), \mathbf{P}^{\omega}(H) \in \mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the following pro-groups:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(H) &= (H \longleftarrow H \oplus H \longleftarrow H \oplus H \oplus H \longleftarrow ...), \\
\mathbf{P}^{\omega}(H) &= \bigoplus_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(H).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let G be a free countably generated abelian group. Since $\mathbf{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{n-1}\left(X,\mathbb{Z}\right),G\right)=0$, it is enough to construct an example X such that $\mathbf{H}_n\left(X,\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\to\mathbf{H}_n\left(X,G\right)$ is **not** an isomorphism. Let

$$X_k=\bigvee_0^{\infty}S^k$$

be the k-dimensional Hawaiian ear-ring, i.e. a **compact wedge** (or the **cluster**) of countably many k-spheres. Assume for simplicity that $k\neq 0$. X_k can be described as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$:

$$X_k=\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty}S_k^{(i)}$$

where $S_k^{(i)}$ is the sphere of radius $\frac{1}{i+1}$ with the center in $\left(\frac{1}{i+1},0,...,0\right)\in\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. It follows from ([Pra05], Section 4.2, p. 505) that (see Definition 5.1)

$$\mathbf{H}_n\left(X_k,\mathbb{Z}\right)=\begin{cases}\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right) & \text{if} \quad n=k,\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n\neq k,0,\\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} \quad n=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G=\begin{cases}\mathbf{P}^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{Z}\right) & \text{if} \quad n=k,\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n\neq k,0,\\ G & \text{if} \quad n=0.\end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,G\right)=\begin{cases}\mathbf{P}\left(G\right) & \text{if} \quad n=k,\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n\neq k,0,\\ G & \text{if} \quad n=0.\end{cases}$$

Assume on the contrary that $\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\to\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,G\right)$ is an isomorphism. It would follow that

$$Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,G\right),B\right)\longrightarrow Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G,B\right)$$

is an isomorphism for any abelian group B. Direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,G\right),B\right) &= \varinjlim\left(\prod_0^{\infty}B\longrightarrow\prod_0^{\infty}B\times B\longrightarrow\prod_0^{\infty}B\times B\times B\longrightarrow...\right),\\ Hom_{\mathbf{Pro}(\mathbb{Z})}\left(\mathbf{H}_k\left(X_k,\mathbb{Z}\right)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G,B\right) &= \prod_0^{\infty}\varinjlim\left(B\longrightarrow B\times B\longrightarrow B\times B\times B\longrightarrow...\right).\end{aligned}$$

Notice **direct** (instead of inverse) limits. Elements of $\prod\limits_0^{\infty}B^{s+1}$ can be represented by infinite $s\times\infty$ matrices $\left(b_{ij}\right)_{0\leq i\leq s,j\geq 0}$, or, equivalently, by $\infty\times\infty$ matrices $\left(b_{ij}\right)_{i\geq 0,j\geq 0}$ with $b_{ij}=0$ whenever $i>s$. It follows that

$$\varinjlim\left(\prod_0^{\infty}B\longrightarrow\prod_0^{\infty}B\times B\longrightarrow\prod_0^{\infty}B\times B\times B\longrightarrow...\right)$$

can be represented by $\infty\times\infty$ matrices $\left(b_{ij}\right)_{i\geq 0,j\geq 0}$ matrices with $b_{ij}=0$ whenever $i>s$ for **some** s. Similarly, elements of

$$\varinjlim\left(B\longrightarrow B\times B\longrightarrow B\times B\times B\longrightarrow...\right)$$

can be represented by $1 \times \infty$ matrices $(b_j)_{j \geq 0}$ where $b_j = 0$ if $j > s$ for **some** s. Finally, elements of

$$\prod_0^\infty \varinjlim \left(B \longrightarrow B \times B \longrightarrow B \times B \times B \longrightarrow ... \right)$$

can be represented by $\infty \times \infty$ matrices $(b_{ij})_{i \geq 0, j \geq 0}$ matrices with $b_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i > s_j$ for **some** s_j (depending on j). Let B be any non-trivial abelian group, let $c \in B$, $c \neq 0$. Define

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad i > j, \\ c & \text{if} \quad i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

Clearly

$$(b_{ij}) \in \prod_0^\infty \varinjlim \left(B \longrightarrow B \times B \longrightarrow B \times B \times B \longrightarrow ... \right),$$

but (b_{ij}) does not lie in the image of

$$\varinjlim \left(\prod_0^\infty B \longrightarrow \prod_0^\infty B \times B \longrightarrow \prod_0^\infty B \times B \times B \longrightarrow ... \right).$$

The pairing $\mathbf{H}_k(X_k, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \to \mathbf{H}_k(X_k, G)$ is **not** an isomorphism. Contradiction. $\square$

5.5. **Proof of Theorem 1.4.**

Proof. Let X_k, $k \geq 1$, and G, be the same as in Section 5.4. It follows from ([Pra05], Section 4.2, p. 505) that

$$\overline{H}_k(X_k, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \prod_0^\infty \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\overline{H}_k(X_k, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \simeq \bigoplus_0^\infty \prod_0^\infty \mathbb{Z},$$

$$\overline{H}_k(X_k, G) \simeq \prod_0^\infty G \simeq \prod_0^\infty \bigoplus_0^\infty \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let us repeat the proof here. Let F be any abelian group. We will calculate $\overline{H}_k(X_k, F)$ using the spectral sequence from Theorem 4.5 (5), and the calculations from Section 5.4. Clearly, since $\mathbf{P}(F)$ (see Definition 5.1) is a tower of epimorphisms,

$$E_2^{st}(\mathbf{C}_*(\mathbf{X}, F)) = \begin{cases} \varprojlim \mathbf{P}(F) \simeq \prod_0^\infty F & \text{if} \quad s = 0, t = -k, \\ F & \text{if} \quad s = t = 0, \\ \varprojlim^1 \mathbf{P}(F) = 0 & \text{if} \quad s = 1, t = -k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The spectral sequence degenerates, implying $\varprojlim_r^1 E_r^{st} = 0$. It follows that

$$\overline{H}_k(X_k, F) \simeq E_\infty^{0,-k} \simeq E_2^{0,-k} \simeq \prod_0^\infty F.$$

Setting $F = \mathbb{Z}$ and $F = G$, one gets the desired formulas.

Moreover, $Tor_1^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\overline{H}_{k-1}(X_k,\mathbb{Z}),G\right)=0$ because G is a free abelian group. The elements of $\overline{H}_k(X_k,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G$ are represented by $\infty\times\infty$ matrices $(b_{ij}\in\mathbb{Z})$ where $b_{ij}=0$ if $i>s$ for some s, while elements of $\overline{H}_k(X_k,G)$ are represented by matrices (b_{ij}) where $b_{ij}=0$ if $i>s_j$ for some s_j (depending on j). Let

$$b_{ij}=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if} \quad i>j,\\ 1 & \text{if} \quad i\leq j.\end{cases}$$

Clearly $(b_{ij})\in\overline{H}_k(X_k,G)$, but (b_{ij}) does not lie in the image of $\overline{H}_k(X_k,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G$. The pairing $\overline{H}_k(X_k,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\to\overline{H}_k(X_k,G)$ is **not** an isomorphism. Contradiction. □

5.6. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** This is the most complicated argument.

5.6.1. *The counter-example depending on the Continuum Hypothesis.*

Proof. Take again G a countably generated abelian group. The first counter-example will be the same X_k (the cluster of k-spheres) as above. However, the statement that

$$\overline{H}_{k-1}(X_k,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G=\overline{H}^b_{k-1}(X_k,\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G\longrightarrow\overline{H}^b_{k-1}(X_k,G)$$

is **not** an isomorphism, would depend on the Continuum Hypothesis (in fact, on a weaker assumption $d=\aleph_1$). A counter-example which is **independent** on the Continuum Hypothesis, will be much more complicated (see Section 5.6.2). Assume for simplicity that $k\geq 2$. Let $X_k\to\mathbf{X}$ be a strong expansion. Consider the spectral sequence from Theorem 3.15 (6) for the pro-complex

$$\mathbf{C}^b_*(\mathbf{X},G)=\mathbf{C}_*(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}G=\bigoplus_0^\infty\mathbf{C}_*(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}).$$

Reasoning exactly as in ([Pra05], Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 4.10), one calculates the E_2 terms (see Definition 5.1):

$$E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}^b_*(\mathbf{X},G)\right)=\begin{cases}\varprojlim\mathbf{P}^\omega(\mathbb{Z})\simeq\bigoplus_0^\infty\prod_0^\infty\mathbb{Z} & \text{if} & s=0,t=-k,\\ \varprojlim^s\mathbf{P}^\omega(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if} & s>0,t=-k,\\ \bigoplus_0^\infty\mathbb{Z} & \text{if} & s=t=0,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The spectral sequence degenerates, implying $\varprojlim^1_r E_r^{st}=0$, and it follows that

$$\overline{H}^b_{k-1}(\mathbf{X},G)\simeq\varprojlim^1\mathbf{P}^\omega(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In [vD84], §3, the two cardinals were defined: b (bounding number) and d (dominating number). It is known that $\aleph_1\leq b\leq d\leq c$ where c is the cardinality of continuum. Moreover (see [vD84], §5), for any integers $1\leq p\leq l\leq m$ the statement

$$(b=\aleph_p)\,\&\,(d=\aleph_l)\,\&\,(c=\aleph_m)$$

is consistent with ZFC (Zermelo–Fraenkel axioms plus the Axiom of Choice). Assume now $d=\aleph_1$ (this assumption is weaker than the Continuum Hypothesis

$c = \aleph_1$). It is proved in ([Pra05]), Theorem 4, that under this assumption the cardinality of $\varprojlim^1 \mathbf{P}^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z})$ is very large (equal to $(\aleph_0)^{\aleph_1}$). Since

$$\overline{H}^{b}_{k-1}(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}) = \overline{H}_{k-1}(\mathbf{X},\mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$0 = \overline{H}^{b}_{k-1}(X_k,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \longrightarrow \overline{H}^{b}_{k-1}(X_k,G) = \varprojlim^1 \mathbf{P}^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$$

is **not** an isomorphism. Contradiction. □

Remark 5.2. *In* [DSV89] *it is proved that under PFA (the Proper Forcing Axiom)* $\varprojlim^1 \mathbf{P}^{\omega}(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$*. Therefore, the statement "*$\overline{H}^{b}_{k-1}(X_k,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \longrightarrow \overline{H}^{b}_{k-1}(X_k,G)$ *is an isomorphism"* ***does not depend*** *on ZFC.*

5.6.2. *The "absolute" counter-example.* Let ω_1 be the first uncountable ordinal.

Definition 5.3. *(compare with* [Pra05]*, Section 6) Let C be an abelian group. Define $I(\omega_1)$ to be the category with the elements $\alpha < \omega_1$ as objects, and inequalities $\beta < \alpha$ as morphisms $\alpha \to \beta$. Notice the inverse order in which α and β appear in the morphisms. Consider the following two abelian pro-groups:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(C) &= (A_\alpha(C))_{\alpha \in I(\omega_1)}, \\ \mathbf{A}^{\omega}(C) &= \bigoplus_{0}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}(C), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_\alpha(C) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in [\alpha,\omega_1\rangle} C,$$

and for $\beta < \alpha$ the morphisms

$$(\alpha \longrightarrow \beta)_* : A_\alpha(C) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in [\alpha,\omega_1\rangle} C \longrightarrow A_\beta(C) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in [\beta,\omega_1\rangle} C$$

are induced by the inclusions $[\alpha,\omega_1\rangle \subseteq [\beta,\omega_1\rangle$.

Proof. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer. Denote by X_m the space $X(m,0,\omega_1)$ from [Mar96]. As a set, X_m is a wedge

$$\bigvee_{\alpha<\omega_1} B^m$$

of m-dimensional balls equipped with a special paracompact topology. In ([Mar96], Theorem 3 and 6), a polyhedral resolution $X_m \to \mathbf{X}$ was constructed, and the pro-homology of X_m was calculated. Namely,

$$\mathbf{H}_n(X_m,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{H}_n(\mathbf{C}_*(X_m,\mathbb{Z})) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} \quad n = 0, \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if} \quad n = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us now proceed similarly to [Pra05], Proposition 7.3. Let G be, as in Section 5.6.1, a countably generated free abelian group. Assume for simplicity that $m > 2$. In the two spectral sequences from Theorem 3.15 (6) for the pro-complexes

$\mathbf{C}_*(X_m,\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{C}_*^b(X_m,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{C}_*(X_m,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G$ one obtains, using [Pra05], Proposition 6.1:

$$E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}_*(X_m,\mathbb{Z})\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} & s=t=0, \\ \varprojlim^2 \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if} & s=2, t=-m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} & \end{array} \right.$$

$$E_2^{st}\left(\mathbf{C}_*^b(X_m,G)\right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus\limits_0^\infty \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} & s=t=0, \\ \varprojlim^2 \mathbf{A}^\omega(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if} & s=2, t=-m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} & \end{array} \right.$$

Both spectral sequences degenerate, implying $\varprojlim^1 E_r^{st} = 0$. It follows from Theorem 3.15 (6) that

$$\overline{H}_n^b(X_m,\mathbb{Z}) = \overline{H}_n(X_m,\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} & n=0, \\ \varprojlim^2 \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if} & n=m-2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} & \end{array} \right.$$

$$\overline{H}_n^b(X_m,G) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus\limits_0^\infty \mathbb{Z} & \text{if} & n=0, \\ \varprojlim^2 \mathbf{A}^\omega(\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if} & n=m-2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} & \end{array} \right.$$

The pairing

$$\overline{H}_{m-2}^b(X_m,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G = \bigoplus_0^\infty \varprojlim^2 \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_{m-2}^b(X_m,G) = \varprojlim^2 \mathbf{A}^\omega(\mathbb{Z})$$

is **not an isomorphism**, due to [Pra05], Proposition 6.1 and Corollary 6.5. Contradiction. □

References

[AM86] M. Artin and B. Mazur. *Etale homotopy*, volume 100 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. Reprint of the 1969 original.

[BK72] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[Boa99] J. Michael Boardman. Conditionally convergent spectral sequences. In *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures (Baltimore, MD, 1998)*, volume 239 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 49–84. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[DSV89] Alan Dow, Petr Simon, and Jerry E. Vaughan. Strong homology and the proper forcing axiom. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(3):821–828, 1989.

[KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Categories and sheaves*, volume 332 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[Lam99] T. Y. Lam. *Lectures on modules and rings*, volume 189 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[Mar96] Sibe Mardešić. Nonvanishing derived limits in shape theory. *Topology*, 35(2):521–532, 1996.

[Mar00] Sibe Mardešić. *Strong shape and homology*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[MS82] Sibe Mardešić and Jack Segal. *Shape theory*, volume 26 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1982.

[Pra89] Andrei V. Prasolov. A spectral sequence for strong homology. *Glas. Mat. Ser. III*, 24(44)(1):17–24, 1989.

[Pra01] Andrei V. Prasolov. Extraordinary strong homology. *Topology Appl.*, 113(1-3):249–291, 2001. Geometric topology: Dubrovnik 1998.

[Pra05] Andrei V. Prasolov. Non-additivity of strong homology. *Topology Appl.*, 153(2-3):493–527, 2005.

[Sch87] Jean-Pierre Schneiders. Cosheaves homology. *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. B*, 39(1):1–31, 1987.

[Sug01] Yuichi Sugiki. *The category of cosheaves and Laplace transforms*, volume 2001-33 of *UTMS Preprint Series*. University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 2001.

[Tho85] R. W. Thomason. Algebraic K-theory and étale cohomology. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 18(3):437–552, 1985.

[vD84] Eric K. van Douwen. The integers and topology. In *Handbook of set-theoretic topology*, pages 111–167. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF TROMSØ, N-9037 TROMSØ, NORWAY

E-mail address: `andrei.prasolov@uit.no`

URL: `http://serre.mat-stat.uit.no/ansatte/andrei/Welcome.html`